Functional Programming Practicals 4. Monads

Shin-Cheng Mu

July 2018

1. Show that *scutprod* xs = return (*product* xs) for all xs. You can use these rules regarding if:

if *p* then *e* else e = e, $(p \Rightarrow e1 = e2) \Rightarrow$ if *p* then e1 else e3 = if *p* then e2 else e3.

Solution: We reason: scutprod xs { definition } = *catch* (**if** *elem* 0 *xs* **then** *fail* **else** *return* (*product xs*)) (*return* 0) $\{f (if p then el else e2) = if p then f el else f e2 \}$ =**if** *elem* 0 *xs* **then** *catch fail* (*return* 0) else *catch* (*return* (*product xs*)) (*return* 0) { laws concerning *catch* } = if elem 0 xs then return 0 else *return* (*product xs*) $\{ \text{ since } product \, xs = 0 \text{ if } elem \, 0 \, xs \} \}$ =**if** *elem* 0 *xs* **then** *return* (*product xs*) **else** *return* (*product xs*) = { laws regarding **if** } *return* (*product xs*) .

2. Prove: *insert x ys* \gg (*return* \cdot *map f*) = *insert* (*f x*) (*map f ys*).

```
Solution: Induction on ys. Case: ys := []:
         insert x[] \gg (return \cdot map f)
       = { definition of insert }
         return [x] \gg (return \cdot map f)
           { monad law: left-identity }
       =
         return (map f[x])
       = \{ \text{ definition of } xs \}
         return [f x]
       = { definition of insert }
         insert (f x) (map f []).
Case: ys := y : ys:
         insert x(y:ys) \gg (return \cdot map f)
       = { definition of insert }
         (return (x: y: ys) || insert x ys \gg (return \cdot (y:))) \gg (return \cdot map f)
           { left-distributivity }
       =
         (return (x: y: ys) \gg (return \cdot map f))
         ((insert x vs \gg (return \cdot (v:)) \gg (return \cdot map f)))
            { monad law: left-identity }
       =
         return (map f(x:y:ys))
         ((insert x ys \gg (return \cdot (y:)) \gg (return \cdot map f))
In the left branch of ([]), map f (x: y: ys) naturally expands to f x: f y: map f ys. Focus on
the right branch of ([]):
         (insert x ys \gg (return \cdot (y:)) \gg (return \cdot map f)
       = { monad law: associativity }
         insert x ys \gg (\lambda zs \rightarrow return (y : zs) \gg (return \cdot map f))
       = { monad law: left-identity }
         insert x ys \gg (\lambda zs \rightarrow return (map f (y:zs)))
       = \{ \text{ definition of } map \}
         insert x ys \gg (\lambda zs \rightarrow return (f y: map f zs))
             { monad law: left-identity }
       =
```

```
insert x ys \gg (\lambda zs \rightarrow return (map f) \gg (return \cdot (f y:)))
```

```
= { monad law: associativity }
```

```
(insert x ys \gg (return \cdot map f)) \gg (return \cdot (f y:))
```

```
= \{ \text{ induction } \}
```

```
insert (f x) (map f ys) \gg (return \cdot (f y:)).
```

Back to the calculation:

```
return (map f (x:y:ys)) [] 
((insert x ys \gg (return \cdot (y:)) \gg (return \cdot map f))
```

 $= \{ \text{ calculations above } \}$ $return (f x: f y: map f ys) \parallel$ $insert (f x) (map f ys) \gg (return \cdot (f y:))$ $= \{ \text{ definition of insert } \}$ insert (f x) (f y: map f ys) $= \{ \text{ definition of map } \}$ insert (f x) (map f (y: ys)) .

3. **Sorting**. Shown below is a very slow sorting algorithm, which non-deterministically generates an arbitrary permutation of its input, and succeeds only if the permutation happens to be sorted:

slowsort $xs = perm xs \gg sorted$,

with auxiliary functions defined below:

perm [] = return [] $perm (x:xs) = perm xs \implies insert x ,$ guard b = if b then return () else fail , all p [] = True $all p (x:xs) = p x \land all p xs ,$ sorted [] = return [] $sorted (x:xs) = guard (all (x \le) xs) \gg \lambda() \rightarrow$ $sorted xs \gg (return \cdot (x:)) .$

For this exercise we assume that the input list has type List Int.

- (a) Write down the types of each of the functions above, and explain what they do.
- (b) Consider the following function:

sinsert x [] = return [x] sinsert x (y:xs) = if $x \le y$ then return (x:y:xs) else sinsert x xs \gg (return \cdot (y:)).

Do you believe that the following property (1) is true? Can you explain what it means in words?

$$insert \ x \ xs \gg sorted = sorted \ xs \gg sinsert \ x \ . \tag{1}$$

(c) Assuming that (1) is true. Derive a faster (well, $O(n^2)$) sorting algorithm.

Solution: Consider *slowsort xs* and do induction on the input *xs*. For the base case: slowsort [] = { definition of *slowsort* } *perm* [] >>= *sorted* $= \{ \text{ definition of } perm \}$ *return* [] >>= *sorted* $= \{ \text{monad law} \}$ sorted [] = { definition of *sorted* } *return* []. For the inductive case: slowsort (x:xs)= { definition of *slowsort* } $perm(x:xs) \gg sorted$ $= \{ \text{ definition of } perm \}$ $(perm xs \gg insert x) \gg sorted$ $= \{ \text{monad law: associativity of } (\gg) \}$ *perm xs* \gg (λ *ys* \rightarrow *insert x ys* \gg *sorted*) $= \{ by(1) \}$ *perm xs* \gg ($\lambda ys \rightarrow$ *sorted ys* \gg *sinsert x*) $= \{ \text{monad law: associativity of } (\gg) \}$ $(perm xs \gg sorted) \gg sinsert x$ = { definition of *slowsort* } slowsort $xs \gg sinsert x$. We have thus constructed: slowsort [] = return [] $slowsort(x:xs) = slowsortxs \gg sinsertx$, which is actually, as you might have guessed, insertion sort.

4. Assuming the following implementation of MonadNondet:

```
instance MonadNondet Maybe where
Nothing \| m = m
Just x \| m = Just x
```

Think of a counterexample for which the left distributivity law does not hold.

Solution: Recall the law:

$$(ml || m2) \gg f = (ml \gg f) || (m2 \gg f)$$
.

Let m1 = Just 1, m2 = Just 2, and f x = if even x then Just () else Nothing. The LHS reduces to

$$(\text{Just 1} \parallel \text{Just 2}) \gg f$$

= Just 1 $\gg f$
= Nothing ,

while the RHS reduces to

 $(\text{Just } 1 \gg f) [] (\text{Just } 2 \gg f)$ = Nothing [] return () = return ().

5. Consider the standard prelude function *foldl*:

$$\begin{array}{l} foldl :: (b \to a \to b) \to b \to {\sf List} \ a \to b \\ foldl \ (\oplus) \ s \ [] \qquad = s \\ foldl \ (\oplus) \ s \ (x : xs) = foldl \ (\oplus) \ (s \oplus x) \ xs \ , \end{array}$$

and define:

 $loop:: MonadState \ b \ m \Rightarrow (b \to a \to b) \to List \ a \to m \ b$ $loop \ (\oplus) \ [] = get$ $loop \ (\oplus) \ (x:xs) = get \gg \lambda s \to$ $put \ (s \oplus x) \gg loop \ xs \ .$

Prove that *put* $s \gg loop(\oplus) xs = put(foldl(\oplus) s xs) \gg get$.

```
Solution: Induction on xs.

Case xs := []:

put s \gg loop (\oplus) []

= \{ \text{ definition of } loop \}

put s \gg get

= \{ \text{ definition of } foldl \}

put (foldl (\oplus) s []) \gg get .

Case xs := x : xs:
```

```
put s \gg loop (\oplus) (x:xs)
= \{ \text{ definition of } loop \}
put s \gg get \gg \lambda s \rightarrow put (s \oplus x) \gg loop xs
= \{ \text{ put-get } \}
put s \gg return s \gg \lambda s \rightarrow put (s \oplus x) \gg loop xs
= \{ \text{ monad law: left identity } \}
put s \gg put (s \oplus x) \gg loop xs
= \{ \text{ put-put } \}
put (s \oplus x) \gg loop xs
= \{ \text{ induction } \}
put (foldl (\oplus) (s \oplus x) xs) \gg get
= \{ \text{ definition of } foldl \}
put (foldl (\oplus) s (x:xs)) \gg get .
```