# Functional Programming Practicals 01: Definition and Proof by Induction

Shin-Cheng Mu

# FLOLAC 2020

1. Prove that *length* distributes into (++):

length (xs + ys) = length xs + length ys.

**Solution:** Prove by induction on the structure of *xs*. **Case** *xs* := []: length ([] + ys)  $= \{ \text{ definition of (++)} \}$ length ys  $= \{ \text{ definition of (+)} \}$ 0 + length ys = { definition of *length* } length [] + length ys **Case** *xs* := *x* : *xs*: length ((x : xs) + ys) $= \{ \text{ definition of (++)} \}$ length (x : (xs + ys))= { definition of *length* } 1 + length (xs + ys)= { by induction } 1 + length xs + length ys = { definition of *length* }

length(x:xs) + length ys

Note that we in fact omitted one step using the associativity of (+).

2. Prove:  $sum \cdot concat = sum \cdot map sum$ .

```
Solution: By extensional equality, sum \cdot concat = sum \cdot map sum if and only if
     (sum \cdot concat) xss = (sum \cdot map sum) xss,
for all xss, which, by definition of (\cdot), is equivalent to
     sum (concat xss) = sum (map sum xss),
which we will prove by induction on xss.
Case xss := []:
        sum (concat []))
     = { definition of concat }
        sum []
     = { definition of map }
        sum (map sum [])
Case xss := xs : xss:
        sum (concat (xs : xss))
     = { definition of concat }
        sum (xs ++(concat xss))
     = { lemma: sum distributes over # }
        sum xs + sum (concat xss)
     = { by induction }
        sum xs + sum (map sum xss)
     = { definition of sum }
        sum (sum xs : map sum xss)
     = { definition of map }
        sum (map sum (xs : xss)).
The lemma that sum distributes over +, that is,
     sum(xs + ys) = sum xs + sum ys,
```

needs a separate proof by induction. Here it goes: **Case** *xs* := []: *sum* ([] ++ *ys*)  $= \{ \text{ definition of (++)} \}$ sum ys  $= \{ \text{ definition of } (+) \}$ 0 + sum ys= { definition of *sum* } sum[] + sum ys. **Case** *xs* := *x* : *xs*: sum((x : xs) + ys) $= \{ \text{ definition of (++)} \}$ sum(x:(xs+ys))= { definition of *sum* } x + sum(xs + ys)= { induction } x + (sum xs + sum ys)= { since (+) is associative } (x + sum xs) + sum ys= { definition of *sum* } sum(x:xs) + sum ys.

3. Prove: filter  $p \cdot map f = map f \cdot filter (p \cdot f)$ . **Hint**: for calculation, it might be easier to use this definition of filter:

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{filter } p \left[ \right] &= \left[ \right] \\ \textit{filter } p \left( x : xs \right) = \mathbf{if } p x \mathbf{then } x : \textit{filter } p xs \\ \mathbf{else } \textit{filter } p xs \end{array}$ 

and use the law that in the world of total functions we have:

f (if q then  $e_1$  else  $e_2$ ) = if q then  $f e_1$  else  $f e_2$ 

You may also carry out the proof using the definition of *filter* using guards:

filter p(x : xs) | p x = ...| otherwise = ... You will then have to distinguish between the two cases:  $p \ x$  and  $\neg (p \ x)$ , which makes the proof more fragmented. Both proofs are okay, however.

```
Solution:
         filter p \cdot map f = map f \cdot filter (p \cdot f)
      \equiv { extensional equality }
         (\forall xs :: (filter p \cdot map f) xs = (map f \cdot filter (p \cdot f)) xs)
      \equiv { definition of (.) }
         (\forall xs :: filter p (map f xs) = map f (filter (p \cdot f) xs)).
We proceed by induction on xs.
Case xs := []:
         filter p (map f [])
      = { definition of map }
         filter p []
      = { definition of filter }
         []
      = { definition of map }
         map f []
      = { definition of filter }
         map f (filter (p \cdot f) [])
Case xs := x : xs:
         filter p(map f(x : xs))
      = { definition of map }
         filter p(f x : map f xs)
      = { definition of filter }
         if p(f x) then f x: filter p(map f xs) else filter p(map f xs)
      = { induction hypothesis }
         if p(f x) then f x: map f(filter(p \cdot f) xs) else map f(filter(p \cdot f) xs)
      = { definition of map }
         if p(f x) then map f(x : filter(p \cdot f) xs) else map f(filter(p \cdot f) xs)
      = { since f (if q then e_1 else e_2) = if q then f e_1 else f e_2 }
         map f (if p (f x) then x : filter (p \cdot f) xs else filter (p \cdot f) xs)
```

= { definition of (·) }
map f (if (p · f) x then x : filter (p · f) xs else filter (p · f) xs)
= { definition of filter }
map f (filter (p · f) (x : xs))

4. Reflecting on the law we used in the previous exercise:

f (if q then  $e_1$  else  $e_2$ ) = if q then  $f e_1$  else  $f e_2$ 

Can you think of a counterexample to the law above, when we allow the presence of  $\perp$ ? What additional constraint shall we impose on *f* to make the law true?

Solution: Let  $f = const \ 1$  (where  $const \ x \ y = x$ ), and  $q = \bot$ . We have:  $const \ 1$  (if  $\bot$  then  $e_1$  else  $e_2$ )  $= \{ definition of const \}$  1  $\neq \bot$   $= \{ if is strict on the conditional expression \}$   $if \bot$  then  $f \ e_1$  else  $f \ e_2$ The rule is restored if f is strict, that is,  $f \bot = \bot$ .

5. Prove: *take n xs* + *drop n xs* = *xs*, for all *n* and *xs*.

```
Solution: By induction on n, then induction on xs.

Case n := 0

take \ 0 \ xs + drop \ 0 \ xs

= \{ definitions of take and drop \}

[] + xs

= \{ definition of (++) \}

xs.

Case n := \mathbf{1}_{+} n and xs := []

take \ (\mathbf{1}_{+} n) \ ] + drop \ (\mathbf{1}_{+} n) \ ]
```

```
= { definitions of take and drop }

[]#[]

= { definition of (#) }

[].

Case n := \mathbf{1}_{+} n and xs := x : xs

take (\mathbf{1}_{+} n) (x : xs) # drop (\mathbf{1}_{+} n) (x : xs)

= { definitions of take and drop }

(x : take n xs) # drop n xs

= { definition of (#) }

x : take n xs # drop n xs

= { induction }

x : xs.
```

6. Define a function fan ::  $a \rightarrow List \ a \rightarrow List \ (List \ a)$  such that fan x xs inserts x into the 0th, 1st... *n*th positions of xs, where *n* is the length of xs. For example:

fan 5 [1, 2, 3, 4] = [[5, 1, 2, 3, 4], [1, 5, 2, 3, 4], [1, 2, 5, 3, 4], [1, 2, 3, 5, 4], [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]]

#### Solution:

 $\begin{array}{ll} fan & :: a \rightarrow List \ a \rightarrow List \ (List \ a) \\ fan \ x \ [] & = [[x]] \\ fan \ x \ (y : ys) = (x : y : ys) : map \ (y :) \ (fan \ xys) \\ \end{array}$ 

7. Prove: map  $(map \ f) \cdot fan \ x = fan \ (f \ x) \cdot map \ f$ , for all f and x. **Hint**: you will need the map-fusion law, and to spot that map  $f \cdot (y :) = (f \ y :) \cdot map \ f$  (why?).

**Solution:** This is equivalent to proving that, for all *f*, *x*, and *xs*:

map (map f) (fan x xs) = fan (f x) (map f xs).

Induction on xs. **Case** *xs* := []: map(map f)(fan x [])= { definition of *fan* } map (map f) [[x]]= { definition of *map* } [[f x]]= { definition of fan } fan(f x)= { definition of *fan* } fan(f x)(map f []). **Case** *xs* := *y* : *ys*: map (map f) (fan x (y : ys)) = { definition of *fan* } map(map f)((x : y : ys) : map(y :)(fan x ys))= { definition of *map* } map f (x : y : ys) : map (map f) (map (y :) (fan x ys))) $= \{ map-fusion \}$ map f(x : y : ys) : map (map  $f \cdot (y :)$ ) (fan x ys) = { definition of *map* } map  $f(x : y : ys) : map((fy :) \cdot map f)(fan x ys)$  $= \{ map-fusion \}$ map f (x : y : ys) : map (fy :) (map (map f) (fan x ys))= { induction } map f(x : y : ys) : map (fy :) (fan (f x) (map f ys))= { definition of *map* } (f x : f y : map f ys) : map (fy :) (fan (f x) (map f ys))= { definition of fan } fan(f x)(f y : map f ys)= { definition of *map* } fan(f x)(map f (y : ys)).

8. Define *perms* :: *List*  $a \rightarrow List$  (*List* a) that returns all permutations of the input list. For example:

*perms* [1, 2, 3] = [[1, 2, 3], [2, 1, 3], [2, 3, 1], [1, 3, 2], [3, 1, 2], [3, 2, 1]].

You will need several auxiliary functions defined in the lectures and in the exercises.

## Solution:

Prove: map (map f) · perm = perm · map f. You may need previously proved results, as well as a property about *concat* and map: for all g, we have map g · concat = concat · map (map g).

```
Solution: This is equivalent to proving that, for all f and xs:
     map(map f)(perm xs) = perm(map f xs).
Induction on xs.
Case xs := []:
         map (map f) (perm [])
        { definition of perm }
     =
         map (map f) [[]]
        { definition of map }
     =
         [[ ]]
     = { definition of perm }
         perm []
        { definition of map }
     =
         perm(map f[]).
Case xs := x : xs:
         map (map f) (perm (x : xs))
     = { definition of perm }
         map (map f) (concat (map (fan x) (perm xs)))
     = { since map q \cdot concat = concat \cdot map (map q) }
         concat (map (map (map f))(map (fan x) (perm xs)))
     = \{ map-fusion \}
         concat (map (map (map f) \cdot fan x) (perm xs))
        { previous exercise }
     =
         concat (map (fan (f x) \cdot map f) (perm xs))
        { map-fusion }
     =
         concat (map (fan (f x)) (map (map f) (perm xs)))
     = { induction }
        concat (map (fan (f x)) (perm (map f xs)))
     = { definition of perm }
        perm (f x : map f xs)
         { definition of map }
     =
                                    8
         perm (map f(x : xs)).
```

10. Define *inits* :: *List*  $a \rightarrow List$  (*List* a) that returns all prefixes of the input list.

*inits* "abcde" = ["", "a", "ab", "abc", "abcd", "abcde"].

Hint: the empty list has *one* prefix: the empty list. The solution has been given in the lecture. Please try it again yourself.

### Solution:

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{inits} & :: \text{List } a \to \text{List } (\text{List } a) \\ \text{inits } [] & = [[]] \\ \text{inits } (x : xs) = [] : map (x :) (\text{inits } xs) \end{array}.
```

11. Define *tails* :: *List*  $a \rightarrow List$  (*List* a) that returns all suffixes of the input list.

```
tails "abcde" = ["abcde", "bcde", "cde", "de", "e", ""].
```

Hint: the empty list has *one* suffix: the empty list. The solution has been given in the lecture. Please try it again yourself.

#### Solution:

 $\begin{array}{ll} tails & :: List \ a \to List \ (List \ a) \\ tails \left[ \right] & = \left[ \left[ \right] \right] \\ tails \ (x : xs) \ = \ (x : xs) : tails \ xs \ . \end{array}$ 

12. The function *splits* :: *List*  $a \rightarrow List$  (*List* a, *List* a) returns all the ways a list can be split into two. For example,

splits [1, 2, 3, 4] = [([], [1, 2, 3, 4]), ([1], [2, 3, 4]), ([1, 2], [3, 4]), ([1, 2, 3], [4]), ([1, 2, 3, 4], [])]

Define *splits* inductively on the input list. **Hint**: you may find it useful to define, in a **where**-clause, an auxiliary function f(ys, zs) = ... that matches pairs. Or you may simply use ( $\lambda (ys, zs) \rightarrow ...$ ).

Solution:splits:: List  $a \rightarrow List$  (List a, List a)splits[]splits[]splits[]splits(x: xs)splits(x: xs)splits:: List  $a \rightarrow List$  (List a, List a)splits:: List  $a \rightarrow List$  (List a, List a)splits[]splits:: List  $a \rightarrow List$  (List a, List a)splits[]splits[]splits[]splits[]splits[]splits[]splits(x: xs)splits(x: xs)splitsx: xssplitsx: xssplits<td

13. An *interleaving* of two lists *xs* and *ys* is a permutation of the elements of both lists such that the members of *xs* appear in their original order, and so does the members of *ys*. Define *interleave* :: List  $a \rightarrow List a \rightarrow List (List a)$  such that *interleave xs ys* is the list of interleaving of *xs* and *ys*. For example, *interleave* [1, 2, 3] [4, 5] yields:

 $[[1, 2, 3, 4, 5], [1, 2, 4, 3, 5], [1, 2, 4, 5, 3], [1, 4, 2, 3, 5], [1, 4, 2, 5, 3], \\ [1, 4, 5, 2, 3], [4, 1, 2, 3, 5], [4, 1, 2, 5, 3], [4, 1, 5, 2, 3], [4, 5, 1, 2, 3]].$ 

#### Solution:

14. A list *ys* is a *sublist* of *xs* if we can obtain *ys* by removing zero or more elements from *xs*. For example, [2, 4] is a sublist of [1, 2, 3, 4], while [3, 2] is *not*. The list of all sublists of [1, 2, 3] is:

[[], [3], [2], [2, 3], [1], [1, 3], [1, 2], [1, 2, 3]].

Define a function *sublist* :: List  $a \rightarrow List$  (List a) that computes the list of all sublists of the given list. **Hint**: to form a sublist of *xs*, each element of *xs* could either be kept or dropped.

Solution:

sublist:: List  $a \rightarrow List$  (List a)sublist []= [[]]sublist (x : xs)= xss + map (x :) xss ,where xss = sublist xs .

The righthand side could be *sublist* xs + map(x :) (*sublist* xs) (but it could be much slower).

15. Consider the following datatype for externally labelled binary trees:

**data** ETree *a* = Tip *a* | Bin (ETree *a*) (ETree *a*)

Define a function *leaves* :: Tree  $a \rightarrow \text{List } a$  such that *leaves t* returns all labels of *t* in a list. What is its worse case time complexity?

16. Consider the following datatype for internally labelled binary trees:

**data** ITree a = Null | Node a (ITree a) (ITree a).

(a) Given (↓) :: Nat → Nat → Nat, which yields the smaller one of its arguments, define *minT* :: ITree Nat → Nat, which computes the minimal element in a tree. (Note: (↓) is actually called *min* in the standard library. In the lecture we use the symbol (↓) to be brief.)

#### Solution:

minT:: Tree Nat  $\rightarrow$  NatminT Null= maxBoundminT (Node x t u)=  $x \downarrow minT t \downarrow minT u$ .

(b) Define  $mapT :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow$  ITree  $a \rightarrow$  ITree *b*, which applies the functional argument to each element in a tree.

#### Solution:

mapT::  $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow$  Tree  $a \rightarrow$  Tree bmapT f Null= NullmapT f (Node x t u)= Node (f x) (mapT f t) (mapT f u) .

(c) Can you define  $(\downarrow)$  inductively on *Nat*?

inductive definitions of (+) and  $(\downarrow)$ .

# Solution:

(d) Prove that for all *n* and *t*, minT (mapT (n+) t) = n + minT t. That is,  $minT \cdot mapT$  (n+) = (n+)  $\cdot minT$ .

```
Solution: Induction on t.

Case t := Null. Omitted.

Case t := Node x t u.

\min T (mapT (n+) (Node x t u))
= \{ \text{ definition of } mapT \}
\min T (Node (n + x) (mapT (n+) t) (mapT (n+) u))
= \{ \text{ definition of } minT \}
(n + x) \downarrow minT (mapT (n+) t)) \downarrow minT (mapT (n+) u)
= \{ \text{ by induction } \}
(n + x) \downarrow (n + minT t) \downarrow (n + minT u)
= \{ \text{ lemma: } (n + x) \downarrow (n + y) = n + (x \downarrow y) \}
n + (x \downarrow minT t \downarrow minT u)
= \{ \text{ definition of } minT \}
n + minT (Node x t u) .
The lemma (n + x) \downarrow (n + y) = n + (x \downarrow y) can be proved by induction on n, using
```