

Functional Programming: Homework

Shin-Cheng Mu

Due: 9:10am, Monday, 16th July 2018

You may either write the proofs on paper and hand it in on Monday, or type the solutions in plain text ((\wedge) can be written as `&&`, (\uparrow) can be written as `max`, etc) and email me the solutions (`scm[AT]iis.sinica.edu.tw`). Either way, please clearly note your name, department and year, student id, etc.

1. (5 points) Recall the *steep list* problem.

```
steep :: List Int → Bool
steep [] = True
steep (x : xs) = steep xs ∧ x > sum xs ,
steepsum :: List Int → (Bool, Int)
steepsum xs = (steep xs, sum xs) .
```

Derive a faster version of *steepsum* — by *foldr*-fusion! We need the fact that $id = foldr (:) []$. The derivation may start from:

```
steepsum
= { f = f · id for all f }
steepsum · id
= { id is a foldr }
steepsum · foldr (:) []
= { hmmm.. foldr fusion? }
...
```

2. (5 points) Recall the *longest positive segment* problem from Practical 3. The function *lpp* computes the length of the longest prefix that is all positive. It has an inductive definition:

```
lpp      :: List Int → Nat
lpp []   = 0
lpp (x : xs) = if x > 0 then 1 + (lpp xs) else 0 .
```

Another, one-liner specification of *lpp* is:

```
lpp = maximum · map length · filter (all (>0)) · inits .
```

where *all* and *maximum* can be defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathit{all} \ p \ [] &= \text{True} \\ \mathit{all} \ p \ (x:xs) &= p \ x \wedge \mathit{all} \ xs \ , \\ \mathit{maximum} \ [] &= -\infty \\ \mathit{maximum} \ (x:xs) &= x \uparrow \mathit{maximum} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Derive the inductive definition from the one-liner specification (that is, show that they are the same function). You may need the *map* fusion law:

$$\mathit{map} \ f \ (\mathit{map} \ g \ xs) = \mathit{map} \ (f \cdot g) \ xs \ , \quad (1)$$

the fact that functions around **if** can be distributed into the branches — in the world of total functions:

$$f \ (\mathbf{if} \ p \ \mathbf{then} \ x \ \mathbf{else} \ y) = \mathbf{if} \ p \ \mathbf{then} \ f \ x \ \mathbf{else} \ f \ y \ , \quad (2)$$

some more specific properties of the functions we used (which can all be proved as separate lemmas):

$$\mathit{filter} \ (\mathit{all} \ p) \ (\mathit{map} \ (x:) \ xs) = \mathbf{if} \ p \ x \ \mathbf{then} \ \mathit{map} \ (x:) \ (\mathit{filter} \ (\mathit{all} \ p) \ xs) \ \mathbf{else} \ [] \ , \quad (3)$$

$$\mathit{length} \cdot (x:) = (\mathbf{1}_+) \cdot \mathit{length} \ , \quad (4)$$

$$\mathit{maximum} \ (\mathit{map} \ (\mathbf{1}_+) \ xs) = \mathbf{1}_+ \ (\mathit{maximum} \ xs) \ , \quad (5)$$

and some basic arithmetic laws, such as that $0 \uparrow \mathbf{1}_+ \ n = \mathbf{1}_+ \ n$ for all n .

Hint: This may be a long, lengthy derivation. Do not be afraid! During the derivation, you may temporarily focus on one of the branches of **if** if necessary, before going back to the main proof.