#### Software Verification with Satisfiability Modulo Theories - Decision Procedures -

Ming-Hsien Tsai

Institute of Information Science Academia Sinica

#### FLOLAC 2017

Reference book: Aaron R. Bradley and Zohar Manna. The Calculus of Computation. Springer 2007

### Outline

2

- The theory  $T_E$  and its quantifier-free fragment
- Deciding  $T_E$ -satisfiability of quantifier-free  $\Sigma_E$ -formulae
  - Congruence closure algorithm
- Implementation of the decision procedure
- $T_{RDS}$  recursive data structures
  - $T_{cons}$  lists
- $T_A$  arrays

FLOLAC 2017

# Theory of Equality

# Theory of Equality

- Denoted by  $T_E$
- Referred to as the theory of EUF (Equality with Uninterpreted Functions)
- Play a central role in combining theories that share the equality predicate

### Signature of $T_E$

$$\Sigma_E: \{=, a, b, c, ..., f, g, h, ..., p, q, r, ...\},$$
 consists of

- =, a binary predicate;
- and all constant, function and predicate symbols

### $\Sigma_E$ -formulae

- $x = g(y, x) \rightarrow f(x) = f(g(y, z))$
- $f(f(f(a))) = a \land f(f(f(f(f(a))))) = a \land f(a) \neq a$

$$f(a) \neq a$$
 abbreviates  $\neg(f(a) = a)$ 

FLOLAC 2017

Software Verification with Satisfiability Modulo Theories

# Axioms of Equality

- Reflexivity:  $\forall x. \ x = x$
- Symmetry:  $\forall x, y. \ x = y \rightarrow y = x$
- Transitivity:  $\forall x, y, z$ .  $x = y \land y = z \rightarrow x = z$

# Axioms of Equality

- Reflexivity:  $\forall x. \ x = x$
- Symmetry:  $\forall x, y. x = y \rightarrow y = x$
- Transitivity:  $\forall x, y, z$ .  $x = y \land y = z \rightarrow x = z$

with the three axioms, = is defined to be an equivalence relation

### **Equality of Function Terms**

• When two function terms are equal?

f(x) = f(g(y, z))

# Function Congruence

• Function congruence (axiom schema)

• 
$$\forall X, Y. ( \wedge_{i=1 \text{ to } n} x_i = y_i ) \rightarrow f(X) = f(Y)$$

• Instantiated axioms:

• 
$$\forall x, y. \ x = y \rightarrow f(x) = f(y)$$

•  $\forall x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$ .  $x_1 = y_1 \land x_2 = y_2 \rightarrow g(x_1, x_2) = g(y_1, y_2)$ 

Capital X and Y are vectors of variables

FLOLAC 2017

# Function Congruence

• Function congruence (axiom schema)

• 
$$\forall X, Y. ( \wedge_{i=1 \text{ to } n} x_i = y_i ) \rightarrow f(X) = f(Y)$$

• Instantiated axioms:

• 
$$\forall x, y. \ x = y \rightarrow f(x) = f(y)$$

•  $\forall x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$ .  $x_1 = y_1 \land x_2 = y_2 \rightarrow g(x_1, x_2) = g(y_1, y_2)$ 

makes = a congruence relation

Capital X and Y are vectors of variables

FLOLAC 2017

Software Verification with Satisfiability Modulo Theories

# Predicate Congruence

10

• Predicate congruence

• 
$$\forall X, Y. (\wedge_{i=1 \text{ to } n} x_i = y_i) \rightarrow (p(X) \leftrightarrow p(Y))$$

• Is the following  $\Sigma_E$ -formula  $T_E$ -satisfiable?

• 
$$f(x) = f(y) \land x \neq y$$

 $x \neq y$  abbreviates  $\neg(x = y)$ 

11

FLOLAC 2017

12

Is the following  $\Sigma_E$ -formula  $T_E$ -satisfiable?

 $f(f(f(a))) = a \land f(f(f(f(f(a))))) = a \land f(a) \neq a$ 

12

Is the following  $\Sigma_E$ -formula  $T_E$ -satisfiable?

 $f(f(f(a))) = a \wedge f(f(f(f(f(a))))) = a \wedge f(a) \neq a$ 

**1.** f(f(f(f(a)))) = f(a)

(function congruence)

12

Is the following  $\Sigma_E$ -formula  $T_E$ -satisfiable?

 $f(f(f(a))) = a \wedge f(f(f(f(f(a))))) = a \wedge f(a) \neq a$ 

**1.** f(f(f(f(a)))) = f(a)

(function congruence)

**2.** f(f(f(f(f(a))))) = f(f(a))

(function congruence)

Is the following  $\Sigma_E$ -formula  $T_E$ -satisfiable?

 $f(f(f(a))) = a \land f(f(f(f(f(a))))) = a \land f(a) \neq a$ 

- **1.** f(f(f(f(a)))) = f(a)
- **2.** f(f(f(f(f(a))))) = f(f(a))

**3.** f(f(a)) = f(f(f(f(a))))

(function congruence)

(function congruence)

(symmetry)

Is the following  $\Sigma_E$ -formula  $T_E$ -satisfiable?

 $f(f(f(a))) = a \land f(f(f(f(f(a))))) = a \land f(a) \neq a$ 

- **1.** f(f(f(f(a)))) = f(a)
- **2.** f(f(f(f(f(a))))) = f(f(a))
- **3.** f(f(a)) = f(f(f(f(a))))

**4.** f(f(a)) = a

FLOLAC 2017

(function congruence)

(function congruence)

(symmetry)

(transitivity)

12

Software Verification with Satisfiability Modulo Theories

### Get Rid of Predicate Congruence

- Transform a  $\Sigma_E$ -formula to a  $\Sigma_E$ -formula without predicates other than =
- Example p1
  - $x = y \rightarrow (p(x) \leftrightarrow p(y))$  is transformed to

• 
$$x = y \rightarrow ((f_p(x) = \bullet) \leftrightarrow (f_p(y) = \bullet))$$

- Example p2
  - $p(x) \land q(x, y) \land q(y, z) \rightarrow \neg q(x, z)$  is transformed to

• 
$$f_p(x) = \bullet \land f_q(x, y) = \bullet \land f_q(y, z) = \bullet \to f_q(x, z) \neq \bullet$$

13

FLOLAC 2017

# In The Following

- Consider  $\Sigma_E$ -formulae without predicates other than =
- $T_E$ -satisfiability of  $\Sigma_E$ -formulae is undecidable
  - Consider only the quantifier-free fragment
  - Consider formulae in disjunctive normal form (DNF)

$$(a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge a_n) \vee \ldots \vee (b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge b_m)$$

14

FLOLAC 2017

#### **Congruence Closure Algorithm**

### Observation

- Applying (symmetry), (reflexivity), (transitivity), and (congruence) to positive literals s = t of a  $\Sigma_E$ -formula F produces more equalities over terms occurring in formula F
- There are only a finite number of terms in F
- Only a finite number of equalities among these terms are possible
- Then, either
  - some equality is formed that directly contradicts a negative literal s' ≠ t' of F; or
  - the propagation of equalities ends without finding a contradiction

FLOLAC 2017

### Observation

- Applying (symmetry), (reflexivity), (transitivity), and (congruence) to positive literals s = t of a  $\Sigma_E$ -formula F produces more equalities over terms occurring in formula F
- There are only a finite number of terms in F
- Only a finite number of equalities among these terms are possible
- Then, either
  - some equality is formed that directly contradicts a negative literal s' ≠ t' of F; or
  - the propagation of equalities ends without finding a contradiction form the congruence closure of =

FLOLAC 2017

### Class

- Consider an equivalence relation  ${\cal R}$  over a set  ${\cal S}$
- The *equivalence class* of  $s \in S$  under R is the set

 $[s]_R \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \{s' \in S : sRs'\}$ 

• If R is a congruence relation over S, then  $[s]_R$  is the congruence class of s

## Example of Class

• Consider the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers and the equivalence relation =<sub>2</sub> such that

• 
$$m \equiv_2 n$$
 iff  $(m \mod 2) = (n \mod 2)$ 

$$\begin{split} [3]_{\equiv 2} &= \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} : (n \ mod \ 2) = (3 \ mod \ 2) \} \\ &= \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} : (n \ mod \ 2) = 1 \} \\ &= \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \ \text{is odd} \} \end{split}$$

### Partition

19

A *partition* P of S is a set of subsets of S that is *total*,

$$(\cup_{S' \in P} S') = S,$$

and *disjoint*,

 $\forall S_1, S_2 \in P. \ S_1 \neq S_2 \rightarrow S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ 

### Quotient

• The *quotient* S/R of S by the equivalence (congruence) relation R is a partition of S: it is a set of equivalence (congruence) classes

• 
$$S/R = \{ [s]_R : s \in S \}$$

# Example of Quotient

The quotient Z/≡<sub>2</sub> is a partition: it is the set of equivalence classes

21

•  $\{\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \text{ is odd}\}, \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \text{ is even}\}\}$ 

### Equivalence Relation, Partition, and Quotient

- An equivalence relation R induces a partition S/R of S
- A given partition P of S induces an equivalence relation over S

22

•  $s_1Rs_2$  iff for some  $S' \in P$ , both  $s_1, s_2 \in S'$ 

### **Relation Refinement**

- Consider two binary relations  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  over the set S
- $R_1$  is a *refinement* of  $R_2$ , or  $R_1 < R_2$ , if
  - $\forall s_1, s_2 \in S. \ s_1R_1s_2 \rightarrow s_1R_2s_2$
- We also say that  $R_1$  refines  $R_2$
- Viewing the relations as sets of pairs,  $R_1 \subseteq R_2$



#### Example 1 of Relation Refinement

- $S = \{a, b\}$
- $R_1$ : { $aR_1b$ }
- $R_2: \{aR_2b, bR_2b\}$
- $R_1 < R_2$

#### Example 2 of Relation Refinement

- $\bullet$  Consider set S
- $R_1: \{sR_1s: s \in S\}$
- $R_2: \{sR_2t: s, t \in S\}$
- $R_1 < R_2$

#### Example 2 of Relation Refinement

- $\bullet$  Consider set S
- $R_1 : \{sR_1s : s \in S\}$   $P_1 : \{\{s\} : s \in S\}$
- $R_2: \{sR_2t: s, t \in S\}$
- $R_1 < R_2$

#### Example 2 of Relation Refinement

- $\bullet$  Consider set S
- $R_1 : \{sR_1s : s \in S\}$   $P_1 : \{\{s\} : s \in S\}$
- $R_2: \{sR_2t: s, t \in S\}$   $P_2: \{S\}$
- $R_1 \prec R_2$

#### Example 3 of Relation Refinement

26

- $\bullet$  Consider the set  $\mathbb Z$
- $R_1: \{xR_1y: x \ mod \ 2 = y \ mod \ 2\}$
- $R_2: \{xR_1y: x \mod 4 = y \mod 4\}$

•  $R_2 < R_1$ 

### Closure

- The equivalence closure  $R^E$  of the binary relation R over S is the equivalence relation such that
  - R refines  $R^E$ :  $R < R^E$ ;
  - for all other equivalence relations R' such that R < R', either

- $R' = R^E$ , or
- $R^E < R'$
- $R^E$  is the smallest equivalence relation that covers R
#### **Example of Equivalence Closure**

• Then,

• 
$$aRb, bRc, dRd \in R^{E}$$
 (since  $R \subseteq R^{E}$ );

• 
$$aRa, bRb, cRc \in R^{E}$$
 (by reflexivity);

• 
$$bRa, cRb \in R^{E}$$
 (by symmetry);

- $aRc \in R^{E}$  (by transitivity);
- $cRa \in R^{E}$  (by symmetry);
- Hence

• 
$$R^{E} = \{aRb, bRa, aRa, bRb, bRc, cRb, cRc, aRc, cRa, dRd\}$$

28

FLOLAC 2017

 $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$ 

 $R = \{aRb, bRc, dRd\}$ 

# **Congruence** Closure

• The congruence closure  $R^C$  of R is the smallest congruence relation that covers R

# **Congruence** Closure

• The congruence closure  $R^C$  of R is the smallest congruence relation that covers R

Compute the congruence closure of a term set

## Subterm Set

• Subterm set  $S_F$  of  $\Sigma_E$ -formula F is the set that contains precisely the subterms of F

- Example:
  - $F: f(a, b) = a \land f(f(a, b), b) \neq a$

• 
$$S_F = \{a, b, f(a, b), f(f(a, b), b)\}$$

#### Congruence Relation over Subterm Set

 $F: s_1 = t_1 \land \ldots \land s_m = t_m \land s_{m+1} \neq t_{m+1} \land \ldots \land s_n \neq t_n$ 

• *F* is *T<sub>E</sub>*-satisfiable iff there exists a congruence relation ~ over *S<sub>F</sub>* such that

- for each  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}, s_i \sim t_i;$
- for each  $i \in \{m + 1, ..., n\}, s_i \neq t_i$

## $T_E$ -interpretation

- The congruence relation ~ defines a  $T_E$ -interpretation  $I : (D_I, a_I)$  of F
  - $D_I$  consists of  $|S_F / \sim|$  elements
  - $a_I$  assigns elements of  $D_I$  to the terms of  $S_F$  in a way that respects ~
  - $a_I$  assigns to = a binary relation over  $D_I$  that behaves like ~
- We abbreviate  $(D_I, a_I) \vDash F$  with  $\sim \vDash F$

#### **Congruence Closure Algorithm**

 $F: s_1 = t_1 \land \ldots \land s_m = t_m \land s_{m+1} \neq t_{m+1} \land \ldots \land s_n \neq t_n$ 

1. Construct the congruence closure ~ of

$$\{s_1 = t_1, \, ..., \, s_m = t_m\}$$

over the subterm set  $S_F$ 

- 2. If  $s_i \sim t_i$  for any  $i \in \{m + 1, ..., n\}$ , return unsatisfiable
- 3. Otherwise,  $\sim \models F$ , so return satisfiable

## Step 1

- Begin with  $\sim_0$  given by the partition  $\{\{s\} : s \in S_F\}$
- Import  $s_i = t_i$  by merging the congruence classes  $[s_i]_{-i-1}$  and  $[t_i]_{-i-1}$ 
  - Form the union of  $[s_i]_{\sim i-1}$  and  $[t_i]_{\sim i-1}$
  - Propagate new congruences that arise within the union

 $F: f(a, b) = a \land f(f(a, b), b) \neq a$ 

35

• {{a}, {b}, {f(a, b)}, {f(f(a, b), b)}

 $F: f(a, b) = a \land f(f(a, b), b) \neq a$ 

35

• {{a}, {b}, {f(a, b)}, {f(f(a, b), b)}

(f(a, b) = a)

 $F: f(a, b) = a \land f(f(a, b), b) \neq a$ 

- {{a}, {b}, {f(a, b)}, {f(f(a, b), b)}}
- $\{\{a, f(a, b)\}, \{b\}, \{f(f(a, b), b)\}\}$  (f(a, b) = a)

 $F: f(a, b) = a \land f(f(a, b), b) \neq a$ 

35

- {{a}, {b}, {f(a, b)}, {f(f(a, b), b)}}
- $\{\{a, f(a, b)\}, \{b\}, \{f(f(a, b), b)\}\}$  (f(a, b) = a)

(function congruence)

 $F: f(a, b) = a \land f(f(a, b), b) \neq a$ 

- {{a}, {b}, {f(a, b)}, {f(f(a, b), b)}}
- $\{\{a, f(a, b)\}, \{b\}, \{f(f(a, b), b)\}\}$  (f(a, b) = a)
- $\{\{a, f(a, b), f(f(a, b), b)\}, \{b\}\}$  (function congruence)

 $F: f(a, b) = a \land f(f(a, b), b) \neq a$ 

- {{a}, {b}, {f(a, b)}, {f(f(a, b), b)}}
- $\{\{a, f(a, b)\}, \{b\}, \{f(f(a, b), b)\}\}$  (f(a, b) = a)
- $\{\{a, f(a, b), f(f(a, b), b)\}, \{b\}\}$  (function congruence)

35

•  $T_E$ -unsatisfiable

 $F: f^3(a) = a \land f^5(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$ 

36

• {{*a*}, {*f*(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>2</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>3</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>4</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>5</sup>(*a*)}}

 $F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$ 

36

• {{*a*}, {*f*(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>2</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>3</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>4</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>5</sup>(*a*)}}

 $(f^3(a) = a)$ 

 $F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$ 

- {{*a*}, {*f*(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>2</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>3</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>4</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>5</sup>(*a*)}}
- $\{\{a, f^3(a)\}, \{f(a)\}, \{f^2(a)\}, \{f^4(a)\}, \{f^5(a)\}\}$   $(f^3(a) = a)$

 $F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$ 

- {{*a*}, {*f*(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>2</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>3</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>4</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>5</sup>(*a*)}}
- $\{\{a, f^3(a)\}, \{f(a)\}, \{f^2(a)\}, \{f^4(a)\}, \{f^5(a)\}\}$   $(f^3(a) = a)$

36

(function congruence)

 $F: f^3(a) = a \wedge f^5(a) = a \wedge f(a) \neq a$ 

- {{*a*}, {*f*(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>2</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>3</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>4</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>5</sup>(*a*)}}
- $\{\{a, f^3(a)\}, \{f(a)\}, \{f^2(a)\}, \{f^4(a)\}, \{f^5(a)\}\}$   $(f^3(a) = a)$
- $\{\{a, f^3(a)\}, \{f(a), f^4(a)\}, \{f^2(a), f^5(a)\}\}$  (function congruence)

 $F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$ 

36

- {{*a*}, {*f*(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>2</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>3</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>4</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>5</sup>(*a*)}}
- $\{\{a, f^3(a)\}, \{f(a)\}, \{f^2(a)\}, \{f^4(a)\}, \{f^5(a)\}\}$   $(f^3(a) = a)$
- $\{\{a, f^3(a)\}, \{f(a), f^4(a)\}, \{f^2(a), f^5(a)\}\}$  (function

(function congruence)

 $(f^5(a) = a)$ 

 $F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$ 

- {{*a*}, {*f*(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>2</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>3</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>4</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>5</sup>(*a*)}}
- {{ $a, f^3(a)$ }, {f(a)}, {f(a)}, { $f^2(a)$ }, { $f^4(a)$ }, { $f^5(a)$ }} ( $f^3(a) = a$ )
- $\{\{a, f^3(a)\}, \{f(a), f^4(a)\}, \{f^2(a), f^5(a)\}\}$  (function congruence)
- {{ $a, f^2(a), f^3(a), f^5(a)$ }, { $f(a), f^4(a)$ }} (f(a) = a)

 $F: f^{3}(a) = a \wedge f^{5}(a) = a \wedge f(a) \neq a$ 

- { { a }, { f(a) }, {  $f^2(a)$  }, {  $f^3(a)$  }, {  $f^4(a)$  }, {  $f^5(a)$  }
- { {  $a, f^3(a)$  }, { f(a) }, {  $f^2(a)$  }, {  $f^4(a)$  }, {  $f^5(a)$  }  $(f^{3}(a) = a)$
- { {  $a, f^3(a)$  }, {  $f(a), f^4(a)$  }, {  $f^2(a), f^5(a)$  } (function congruence)

36

- { {  $a, f^2(a), f^3(a), f^5(a)$  }, {  $f(a), f^4(a)$  }  $(f^{5}(a) = a)$

(function congruence)

 $F: f^{3}(a) = a \wedge f^{5}(a) = a \wedge f(a) \neq a$ 

- { { a }, { f(a) }, {  $f^2(a)$  }, {  $f^3(a)$  }, {  $f^4(a)$  }, {  $f^5(a)$  }
- { {  $a, f^3(a)$  }, { f(a) }, {  $f^2(a)$  }, {  $f^4(a)$  }, {  $f^5(a)$  }  $(f^{3}(a) = a)$
- { {  $a, f^3(a)$  }, {  $f(a), f^4(a)$  }, {  $f^2(a), f^5(a)$  }

36

- { {  $a, f^2(a), f^3(a), f^5(a)$  }, {  $f(a), f^4(a)$  }
- { {  $a, f(a), f^2(a), f^3(a), f^4(a), f^5(a)$  }

(function congruence)

 $(f^{5}(a) = a)$ 

(function congruence)

 $F: f^3(a) = a \wedge f^5(a) = a \wedge f(a) \neq a$ 

- {{*a*}, {*f*(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>2</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>3</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>4</sup>(*a*)}, {*f*<sup>5</sup>(*a*)}}
- {{ $a, f^3(a)$ }, {f(a)}, {f(a)}, { $f^2(a)$ }, { $f^4(a)$ }, { $f^5(a)$ }} ( $f^3(a) = a$ )
- { {  $a, f^3(a)$  }, {  $f(a), f^4(a)$  }, {  $f^2(a), f^5(a)$  } (function

36

(function congruence)

- {{ $a, f^2(a), f^3(a), f^5(a)$ }, { $f(a), f^4(a)$ }
- { {  $a, f(a), f^2(a), f^3(a), f^4(a), f^5(a)$  }

 $(f^5(a) = a)$ 

(function congruence)

 $T_E$ -unsatisfiable

 $F: f(x) = f(y) \land x \neq y$ 

37

•  $\{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{f(x)\}, \{f(y)\}\}$ 

 $F: f(x) = f(y) \land x \neq y$ 

37

•  $\{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{f(x)\}, \{f(y)\}\}$ 

(f(x) = f(y))

 $F: f(x) = f(y) \land x \neq y$ 

- $\{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{f(x)\}, \{f(y)\}\}$
- {{x}, {y}, {f(x), f(y)}} (f(x) = f(y))

 $F: f(x) = f(y) \land x \neq y$ 

- $\{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{f(x)\}, \{f(y)\}\}$
- {{x}, {y}, {f(x), f(y)}} (f(x) = f(y))
- $T_E$ -satisfiable

#### Exercise

• Apply the decision procedure for  $T_E$  to the following  $\Sigma_E$ -formulas. Provide a level of details as in the slides.

1. 
$$f(x,y) = f(y,x) \wedge f(a,y) \neq f(y,a)$$

2. 
$$f(g(x)) = g(f(x)) \land f(g(f(y))) = x \land f(y) = x \land g(f(x)) \neq x$$

38

3.  $f(f(f(a))) = f(f(a)) \land f(f(f(f(a)))) = a \land f(a) \neq a$ 

4. 
$$p(x) \wedge f(f(x)) = x \wedge f(f(f(x))) = x \wedge \neg p(f(x))$$

## Implementation

## DAG

• A directed graph  $G:\langle N, E \rangle$ 

• nodes 
$$N = \{n_1, n_2, ..., n_k\}$$

• edges 
$$E = \{..., \langle n_i, n_j \rangle, ...\}$$



• A *directed acyclic graph* (*DAG*) is a directed graph containing no loop (or cycle)

## Subterm Set as DAG



 $\{a, b, f(a, b), f(f(a, b), b)\}$ 

## Node



type r	node =	{
--------	--------	---

id : id

}

(unique identification number)

fn : string (constant or function symbol)

args: id list (identification numbers of the function arguments)

mutable find : id(another node in its congruence class)<br/>(following a chain of find references leads to the representative)mutable ccpar : id set(congruence closure parents,Ø for non-representative nodes)

## DAG as Partition



node $2 = \{$	node $3 = \{$
$\mathrm{id}=2;$	$\mathrm{id}=3;$
$\mathrm{fn}=\mathit{f};$	$\mathrm{fn}=a;$
args = [3; 4];	m args = [];
find = 3;	$\mathrm{find}=3;$
$\operatorname{ccpar} = \emptyset;$	$ccpar = \{1, 2\};$
}	}

Partition: {{f(f(a, b), b), f(a, b), a}, {b}}

Software Verification with Satisfiability Modulo Theories

# **Union-Find Algorithm** - NODE

NODE i returns the node n with id i



 $({
m NODE}\,\,i).{
m id}=i$  $({
m NODE}\,\,2).{
m find}=3$ 

FLOLAC 2017

Software Verification with Satisfiability Modulo Theories

#### **Union-Find Algorithm** -FIND

let rec FIND i =

let n = NODE i in

if n.find = i then i else FIND n.find



 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm FIND} \ 2=3\\ {\rm FIND} \ 1=3 \end{array}$ 

Software Verification with Satisfiability Modulo Theories
46

let UNION  $i_1 i_2 =$ 

let  $n_1 = \text{NODE} (\text{FIND } i_1)$  in

let  $n_2 = \text{NODE} (\text{FIND } i_2)$  in

 $n_1.\text{find} \leftarrow n_2.\text{find};$ 

 $n_2.ccpar \leftarrow n_1.ccpar \cup n_2.ccpar;$ 



 $n_1.ccpar \leftarrow \emptyset$ 

46

let UNION  $i_1 i_2 =$ 

UNION 1 2

let  $n_1 = \text{NODE} (\text{FIND } i_1)$  in

let  $n_2 = \text{NODE} (\text{FIND } i_2)$  in

 $n_1$ .find  $\leftarrow n_2$ .find;

 $n_2.ccpar \leftarrow n_1.ccpar \cup n_2.ccpar;$ 



 $n_1.ccpar \leftarrow \emptyset$ 

46

let UNION  $i_1 i_2 =$ 

UNION 1 2

let  $n_1 = \text{NODE} (\text{FIND } i_1)$  in

let  $n_2 = \text{NODE} (\text{FIND } i_2)$  in

 $n_1$ .find  $\leftarrow n_2$ .find;

 $n_2.ccpar \leftarrow n_1.ccpar \cup n_2.ccpar;$ 



 $n_1.ccpar \leftarrow \emptyset$ 

46

let UNION  $i_1 i_2 =$ 

UNION 1 2

let  $n_1 = \text{NODE} (\text{FIND } i_1)$  in

let  $n_2 = \text{NODE} (\text{FIND } i_2)$  in

 $n_1$ .find  $\leftarrow n_2$ .find;

 $n_2.ccpar \leftarrow n_1.ccpar \cup n_2.ccpar;$ 



 $n_1.ccpar \leftarrow \emptyset$ 

46

let UNION  $i_1 i_2 =$ 

let  $n_1 = \text{NODE} (\text{FIND } i_1)$  in

let  $n_2 = \text{NODE} (\text{FIND } i_2)$  in

 $n_1$ .find  $\leftarrow n_2$ .find;

 $n_2.ccpar \leftarrow n_1.ccpar \cup n_2.ccpar;$ 



UNION 1 2

#### $n_1.ccpar \leftarrow \emptyset$

# **Union-Find Algorithm** - CCPAR

47

let CCPAR i =

(NODE (FIND *i*)).ccpar

# **Congruence Closure Algorithm** - CONGRUENT

let CONGRUENT  $i_1 i_2 =$ 

let  $n_1 =$  NODE  $i_1$  in

let  $n_2 =$  NODE  $i_2$  in

 $n_1.\mathrm{fn} = n_2.\mathrm{fn}$ 

 $\wedge |n_1.\mathrm{args}| = |n_2.\mathrm{args}|$ 



 $\land \forall i \in \{1, ..., |n_1.args|\}$ . FIND  $n_1.args[i] = FIND n_2.args[i]$ 

# **Congruence Closure Algorithm** - MERGE

49

let rec MERGE  $i_1$   $i_2 =$ 

if FIND  $i_1 \neq$  FIND  $i_2$  then begin

let  $P_1 = \text{CCPAR } i_1$  in

let  $P_2 = \text{CCPAR } i_2$  in

UNION  $i_1$   $i_2$ ;

foreach  $t_1, t_2 \in P_1 \times P_2$  do

if FIND  $t_1 \neq$  FIND  $t_2 \land$  CONGRUENT  $t_1 t_2$ 

```
then MERGE t_1 t_2
```

done



end FLOLAC 2017

# **Decision Procedure for** $T_{E}$ -**Satisfiability**

 $F: s_1 = t_1 \land \ldots \land s_m = t_m \land s_{m+1} \neq t_{m+1} \land \ldots \land s_n \neq t_n$ 

- 1. Construct the initial DAG for the subterm set  $S_F$
- 2. For  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ , MERGE  $s_i t_i$
- 3. If FIND  $s_i = \text{FIND } t_i$  for some  $i \in \{m + 1, ..., n\}$ , return unsatisfiable

50

4. Otherwise, return satisfiable

 $F : f(a, b) = a \land f(f(a, b), b) \neq a$  $S_F = \{a, b, f(a, b), f(f(a, b), b)\}$ 



 $F : f(a, b) = a \land f(f(a, b), b) \neq a$  $S_F = \{a, b, f(a, b), f(f(a, b), b)\}$ 

1. MERGE 2 3







 $F : f(a, b) = a \land f(f(a, b), b) \neq a$  $S_F = \{a, b, f(a, b), f(f(a, b), b)\}$ 



2:

1:f

4:b

1. MERGE 2 3  
(1) 
$$P_2 = \text{CCPAR } 2 = \{1\}$$
  
(2)  $P_3 = \text{CCPAR } 3 = \{2\}$   
(3) UNION 2 3



1. MERGE 2 3  
(1) 
$$P_2 = \text{CCPAR } 2 = \{1\}$$
  
(2)  $P_3 = \text{CCPAR } 3 = \{2\}$   
(3) UNION 2 3











 $F : f(a, b) = a \land f(f(a, b), b) \neq a$  $S_F = \{a, b, f(a, b), f(f(a, b), b)\}$ 



 $T_E$ -unsatisfiable



 $F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$  $S_{F} = \{a, f(a), f^{2}(a), f^{3}(a), f^{4}(a), f^{5}(a))\}$ 



$$F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$$
$$S_{F} = \{a, f(a), f^{2}(a), f^{3}(a), f^{4}(a), f^{5}(a))\}$$

1. MERGE 3 0

$$(5:f) \rightarrow (4:f) \rightarrow (3:f) \rightarrow (2:f) \rightarrow (1:f) \rightarrow (0:a)$$

$$F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$$
$$S_{F} = \{a, f(a), f^{2}(a), f^{3}(a), f^{4}(a), f^{5}(a))\}$$

1. MERGE 3 0



$$F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$$
$$S_{F} = \{a, f(a), f^{2}(a), f^{3}(a), f^{4}(a), f^{5}(a))\}$$

1. MERGE 3 0



$$F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$$
$$S_{F} = \{a, f(a), f^{2}(a), f^{3}(a), f^{4}(a), f^{5}(a))\}$$

1. MERGE 3 0



$$F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$$
$$S_{F} = \{a, f(a), f^{2}(a), f^{3}(a), f^{4}(a), f^{5}(a))\}$$

- 1. MERGE 3 0
- 2. MERGE 5 0



$$F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$$
$$S_{F} = \{a, f(a), f^{2}(a), f^{3}(a), f^{4}(a), f^{5}(a))\}$$

- 1. MERGE 3 0
- 2. MERGE 5 0



$$F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$$
$$S_{F} = \{a, f(a), f^{2}(a), f^{3}(a), f^{4}(a), f^{5}(a))\}$$

- 1. MERGE 3 0
- 2. MERGE 5 0



 $F: f^{3}(a) = a \land f^{5}(a) = a \land f(a) \neq a$  $S_{F} = \{a, f(a), f^{2}(a), f^{3}(a), f^{4}(a), f^{5}(a))\}$ 

- 1. MERGE 3 0
- 2. MERGE 5 0



#### Soundness and Completeness

Theorem (Sound & Complete). Quantifier-free conjunctive  $\Sigma_{E}$ -formula F is  $T_{E}$ -satisfiable iff the congruence closure algorithm returns satisfiable

## Complexity

Let e be the number of edges and n be the number of nodes in the initial DAG.

Theorem (Complexity). The congruence closure algorithm run in time  $O(e^2)$  for O(n) MERGEs.

# Recursive Data Structures

### $T_{RDS}$

56

- Can model
  - records
  - lists
  - trees
  - stacks
- Cannot model
  - queues

# Theory of Lists - $T_{cons}$

 $\Sigma_{cons}: \{cons, car, cdr, atom, =\}$ 

- *cons*: a binary function, called the constructor;
- *car*: a unary function, called the left projector;
- *cdr*: a unary function, called the right projector;
- *atom*: a unary predicate;
- =: a binary predicate

 $car(cons(a, b)) = a \ cdr(cons(a, b)) = b$ 

## Axioms of $T_{cons}$

- Axioms of (reflexivity), (symmetry), and (transitivity) of  $T_E$
- Instantiations of the (function congruence) axiom schema for *cons*, *car*, and *cdr*:
  - $\forall x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$ .  $x_1 = x_2 \land y_1 = y_2 \rightarrow cons(x_1, y_1) = cons(x_2, y_2)$

• 
$$\forall x, y. \ x = y \rightarrow car(x) = car(y)$$

• 
$$\forall x, y. \ x = y \rightarrow cdr(x) = cdr(y)$$

• An instantiation of the (predicate congruence) axiom schema for atom:

58

• 
$$\forall x, y. \ x = y \rightarrow (atom(x) \leftrightarrow atom(y))$$

### Axioms of $T_{cons}$

• 
$$\forall x, y. \ car(cons(x, y)) = x$$

(left projection)

- $\forall x, y. \ cdr(cons(x, y)) = y$  (right projection)
- $\forall x. \neg atom(x) \rightarrow cons(car(x), cdr(x)) = x$  (construction)

59

•  $\forall x, y. \neg atom(cons(x, y))$  (atom)

## Decidability

- *T<sub>cons</sub>*: undecidable
- quantifier-free  $T_{cons}$ : decidable

### Preprocess

#### By the (construction) axiom, replace

 $\neg atom(u_i)$ 

#### with

$$u_i = \mathit{cons}(u_i^1, u_i^2)$$

 $\forall x. \neg atom(x) \rightarrow cons(car(x), cdr(x)) = x \qquad (construction)$ 

61
### **Decision Procedure**

 $F: s_1 = t_1 \land \ldots \land s_m = t_m \land s_{m+1} \neq t_{m+1} \land \ldots \land s_n \neq t_n$  $\land atom(u_1) \land \ldots \land atom(u_l)$ 

- Construct the initial DAG for the subterm set  ${\cal S}_{{\cal F}}$
- By the (left projection) and (right projection) axioms, for each node n such that n.fn = cons,
  - add car(n) to the DAG and MERGE car(n) n.args[1];
  - add cdr(n) to the DAG and MERGE cdr(n) n.args[2];
- For  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ , MERGE  $s_i t_i$
- For  $i \in \{m + 1, ..., n\}$ , if FIND  $s_i = \text{FIND } t_i$ , return unsatisfiable
- By the (atom axiom), for i ∈ {1, ..., l}, if ∃v. FIND v = FIND u<sub>i</sub> ∧ v.fn = cons, return unsatisfiability

62

• Otherwise, return satisfiable

FLOLAC 2017

 $F: car(x) = car(y) \land cdr(x) = cdr(y) \land f(x) \neq f(y) \land \neg atom(x) \land \neg atom(y)$ 

 $F': car(x) = car(y) \land cdr(x) = cdr(y) \land f(x) \neq f(y) \land$  $x = cons(u_1, v_1) \land y = cons(u_2, v_2)$ 



Step 1: initial DAG



FLOLAC 2017



Step 2: add car(n) and cdr(n)

FLOLAC 2017



Step 3: MERGE  $s_i t_i$ 1. car(x) = car(y)



Step 3: MERGE  $s_i t_i$ 1. car(x) = car(y)





- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$





- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$





- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$
- 3.  $x = cons(u_1, v_1)$



- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$
- 3.  $x = cons(u_1, v_1)$



- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$
- 3.  $x = cons(u_1, v_1)$



- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$
- 3.  $x = cons(u_1, v_1)$



- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$
- $3. \quad x=\mathit{cons}(u_1,\ v_1)$
- 4.  $y = cons(u_2, v_2)$



- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$
- $3. \quad x=\mathit{cons}(u_1,\ v_1)$
- 4.  $y = cons(u_2, v_2)$



- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$
- $3. \quad x=\mathit{cons}(u_1,\ v_1)$
- 4.  $y = cons(u_2, v_2)$



- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$
- $3. \quad x=\mathit{cons}(u_1,\ v_1)$
- 4.  $y = cons(u_2, v_2)$



- car(x) = car(y)1.
- 2. cdr(x) = cdr(y)
- 3.  $x = cons(u_1, v_1)$
- 4.  $y = cons(u_2, v_2)$



- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$
- $3. \quad x=\mathit{cons}(u_1,\ v_1)$
- 4.  $y = cons(u_2, v_2)$



Step 3: MERGE  $s_i t_i$ 

- 1. car(x) = car(y)
- $2. \quad cdr(x) = cdr(y)$
- $3. \quad x=\mathit{cons}(u_1,\ v_1)$
- 4.  $y = cons(u_2, v_2)$

 $(T_{cons} \cup T_E)$ -unsatisfiable



#### Exercise

• Apply the decision procedure for *Tcons* to the following *Tcons*-formulas. Please write down the call sequence to the MERGE procedure, draw the final DAG, and draw the final DAG.

• 
$$car(x) = y \land cdr(x) = z \land x \neq cons(y,z)$$

• 
$$\neg atom(x) \land car(x) = y \land cdr(x) = z \land x \neq cons(y,z)$$

Hint: Apply preprocessing to the formulae if it is necessary.

FLOLAC 2017

### Arrays

# **Theory of Arrays** - $T_A$

 $\Sigma_{A}: \{\bullet[\bullet], \bullet \langle \bullet \triangleleft \bullet \rangle, = \}$ 

- a[i]: a binary function; a[i] represents the value of array a at position i;
- $a\langle i \triangleleft v \rangle$ : a ternary function;  $a\langle i \triangleleft v \rangle$  represents the modified array a in which position i has value v;

68

• =: a binary predicate

### **Axioms of** $T_A$

• Axioms of (reflexivity), (symmetry), and (transitivity) of  $T_E$ 

• 
$$\forall a, i, j. \ i = j \rightarrow a[i] = a[j]$$
 (array congruence)

- $\forall a, v, i, j. i = j \rightarrow a \langle i \triangleleft v \rangle [j] = v$  (read-over-write 1)
- $\forall a, v, i, j. i \neq j \rightarrow a \langle i \triangleleft v \rangle [j] = a[j]$  (read-over-write 2)

### **Decision Procedure**

- Based on a reduction to  $T_E$ -satisfiability via applications of the (read-over-write) axioms
- If the formula does not contain any write terms, then the read terms can be viewed as uninterpreted function terms
- Otherwise, any write term must occur in the context of a read

#### **Decision Procedure - Step 1**

If F does not contain any write terms  $a\langle i \triangleleft v \rangle$ , perform the following steps.

- 1. Associate each array variable a with a fresh function symbol  $f_a$ , and replace each read term a[i] with  $f_a(i)$
- 2. Decide and return the  $T_E$ -satisfiability of the resulting formula

#### **Decision Procedure - Step 2**

Select some read-over-write term  $a\langle i \triangleleft v \rangle [j]$ , and split on two cases:

1. According to (read-over-write 1), replace

 $F[a \langle i \triangleleft v \rangle[j]]$  with  $F_1: F[v] \land i = j$ 

and recurse on  $F_1$ . If  $F_1$  is found to be  $T_A$ -satisfiable, return satisfiable

2. According to (read-over-write 2), replace

 $F[a\langle i \triangleleft v \rangle[j]]$  with  $F_2: F[a[j]] \land i \neq j$ 

and recurse on  $F_2$ . If  $F_2$  is found to be  $T_A$ -satisfiable, return satisfiable

If both  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are found to be  $T_A$ -unsatisfiable, return unsatisfiable

FLOLAC 2017

### **Example of** $T_A$

 $F: i_1 = j \land i_1 \neq i_2 \land a[j] = v_1 \land a \langle i_1 \triangleleft v_1 \rangle \langle i_2 \triangleleft v_2 \rangle [j] \neq a[j]$ 

• First case:

• 
$$F_1: i_2 = j \land i_1 = j \land i_1 \neq i_2 \land a[j] = v_1 \land v_2 \neq a[j]$$

•  $F_1$ ':  $i_2 = j \land i_1 = j \land i_1 \neq i_2 \land f_a(j) = v_1 \land v_2 \neq f_a(j)$ 

73

•  $F_1$  is  $T_A$ -unsatisfiable

### **Example of** $T_A$

 $F: i_1 = j \land i_1 \neq i_2 \land a[j] = v_1 \land a \langle i_1 \triangleleft v_1 \rangle \langle i_2 \triangleleft v_2 \rangle [j] \neq a[j]$ 

- Second case:
  - $F_2: i_2 \neq j \land i_1 = j \land i_1 \neq i_2 \land a[j] = v_1 \land a\langle i_1 \triangleleft v_1 \rangle [j] \neq a[j]$ 
    - $F_3: i_1 = j \land i_2 \neq j \land i_1 = j \land i_1 \neq i_2 \land a[j] = v_1 \land v_1 \neq a[j]$
    - $F_4: i_1 \neq j \land i_2 \neq j \land i_1 = j \land i_1 \neq i_2 \land a[j] = v_1 \land a[j] \neq a[j]$

74

•  $F_2$  is  $T_A$ -unsatisfiable

#### Soundness and Completeness

Theorem (Sound & Complete). Given quantifier-free conjunctive  $\Sigma_A$ -formula F, the decision procedure returns satisfiable iff F is  $T_A$ -satisfiable; otherwise, it returns unsatisfiable

### Complexity

Theorem (Complexity).  $T_A$ -satisfiability of quantifier-free conjunctive  $\Sigma_A$ -formula is NP-complete

#### Exercise

• Apply the decision procedure for quantifier-free  $T_A$  to the following  $\Sigma_A$ -formulas.

• 
$$a\langle i \triangleleft e \rangle [j] = e \land i \neq j$$

•  $a\langle i \triangleleft e \rangle \langle j \triangleleft f \rangle [k] = g \land j \neq k \land i = j \land a[k] \neq g$ 

## Summary

- Congruence closure algorithm
  - relations, equivalence relations, congruence relations, partitions, quotients, classes, closures

- DAG-based implementation
  - union-find, merge
- Recursive data structures
  - $T_{cons}$
- Arrays