

Homework Assignment 1 Solution

[Compiled on July 1, 2009]

Problem 1

Choose

$$W = \{w\} \quad R = \emptyset \quad \pi(p) = \emptyset \text{ for all } p$$

Then w has no successors, thus $\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \Box p$ holds for all p , and so does $\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \Box \neg p$. These yield $\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \Box p \wedge \Box \neg p$.

Problem 2

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{M} \Vdash \Box(\Box\varphi \supset \varphi) \\ \text{iff } & \forall w \in W, \mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \Box(\Box\varphi \supset \varphi) \\ \text{iff } & \forall w, u \in W, (w, u) \in R, \mathfrak{M}, u \Vdash \Box\varphi \supset \varphi \\ \text{iff } & \forall w, u \in W, (w, u) \in R, \text{ given } \mathfrak{M}, u \Vdash \Box\varphi \text{ it can be shown that } \mathfrak{M}, u \Vdash \varphi \end{aligned}$$

But by definition, for such u , $(w, u) \in R$, and $\mathfrak{M}, u \Vdash \Box\varphi$ implies $\mathfrak{M}, u \Vdash \varphi$, then we are done.

Problem 3(a)

Choose

$$W = \{w_1, w_2\} \quad R = \{(w_1, w_2)\} \quad \pi(p) = \{w_1\}$$

then $\mathfrak{M}, w_1 \Vdash p$ but since $\mathfrak{M}, w_2 \not\Vdash p$, $\mathfrak{M}, w_1 \not\Vdash \Box p$.

If $\{p\} \Vdash_{\mathcal{C}} \Box p$, every model \mathfrak{M} and world w in it with $\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash p$ must implies $\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \Box p$. But the setting in the previous paragraph is a counterexample, thus $\{p\} \not\Vdash_{\mathcal{C}} \Box p$.

Problem 3(b)

To prove that $\{p\} \Vdash_{\mathcal{C}}^g \Box p$, assume $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathfrak{M} \Vdash p$, we shall prove that $\mathfrak{M} \Vdash \Box p$. But this is obvious since every worlds in \mathfrak{M} satisfies p , every successors of every worlds also does, which means every worlds in \mathfrak{M} satisfies $\Box p$.

Problem 4

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M} &= (W, R, \pi) = (\mathbb{Z}, \{(i, i+1)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \pi) \\ \mathfrak{M}' &= (W', R', \pi') = (\mathbb{N}, \{(i, i+1)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \pi|_{\mathbb{N}})\end{aligned}$$

where π is chosen so that $w = 0$ is the only world in w such that $\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \varphi$.

Obviously $\mathfrak{M}' \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{M}$. So for every $w \in W'$, $\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \varphi \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}', w \Vdash \varphi$. Suppose $\overleftarrow{\diamond} \varphi$ is a wff, choose $w = 1$. We have $\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \overleftarrow{\diamond} \varphi$ since $\mathfrak{M}, 0 \Vdash \varphi$, but in \mathfrak{M}' , $\mathfrak{M}', w \not\Vdash \overleftarrow{\diamond} \varphi$ since there is no u such that $(u, w) \in R'$.

So $\overleftarrow{\diamond} \varphi$ is not definable.

Problem 5

Let Z be the bisimulation such that for every $w \in W$, $(w, f(w)) \in Z$. Check:

- if wZw' then $w \in \pi(p)$ iff $w' \in \pi'(p)$ for every p :
By definition, $w \in \pi(p)$ iff $f(w) \in \pi'(p)$ for every p .
- if wZw' and $(w, u) \in R$ then there exists $w' \in W'$ such that uZu' and $(w', u') \in R'$:
By definition, $(w, u) \in R$ implies $(f(w), f(u)) \in R'$, so $u' = f(u)$ satisfies.
- if wZw' and $(w', u') \in R'$ then there exists $u \in W$ such that uZu' and $(w, u) \in R$:
By definition, if $(f(w), u') \in R'$ then there exists $u \in W$ such that $(w, u) \in R$ and $f(u) = u'$. Such u satisfies.