

# Single Context Modal Types

Neel Krishnaswami  
University of Cambridge

FLOLAC 2024  
Taipei, Taiwan

# The Two Variable Rules

---

$$\frac{x:A \in \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x:A}$$

$$\frac{x:A \in \Delta}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \underline{x}:A}$$

# The Two Variable Rules

---

$$\frac{x:A \in \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x:A}$$

$$\frac{x:A \in \Delta}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \underline{x}:A}$$

- Mark each modal variable

# The Two Variable Rules

---

$$\frac{x:A \in \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x:A}$$

$$\frac{x:A \in \Delta}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \underline{x}:A}$$

- Mark each modal variable
- This is very annoying

# An Alternate Presentation

$A ::= b \mid A \rightarrow B \mid \Box A$

$g ::= ok \mid valid$

$\Theta ::= \bullet \mid \Theta, x:A_g$

$x:A$	$\Rightarrow$ ordinary variable
$x:A_{valid}$	$\Rightarrow$ modal variable

$\Theta \vdash \underbrace{e:A_g}$

└ Typing judge parameterized by mode

# One Context S4

$$\frac{x:A \text{ ok} \in \theta}{\theta \vdash x:A \text{ ok}}$$

$$\frac{\theta, x:A \text{ ok} \vdash e:B \text{ ok}}{\theta \vdash \lambda x.e:A \rightarrow B \text{ ok}} \quad \frac{\theta \vdash e_1:A \rightarrow B \text{ ok} \quad \theta \vdash e_2:A \text{ ok}}{\theta \vdash e_1 e_2:B \text{ ok}}$$

$$\frac{\theta \vdash e:A \text{ valid}}{\theta \vdash \text{box}(e):\Box A \text{ ok}} \quad \frac{\theta \vdash e_1:\Box A \text{ ok} \quad \theta, x:A \text{ valid} \vdash e_2:B \text{ ok}}{\theta \vdash \text{let box}(z) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2:B \text{ ok}}$$

$$\frac{\theta^\Box \vdash e:A \text{ ok}}{\theta \vdash e:A \text{ valid}}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (\cdot)^\Box \\ (\theta, x:A \text{ ok})^\Box \\ (\theta, x:A \text{ valid})^\Box \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} = \cdot \\ = \theta^\Box \\ = \theta^\Box, x:A \text{ valid} \end{array} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{Delete the ok variables}$$

# Proving Equivalence

---

Define  $|e|$  as

$|x|$

$= x$

$|\underline{x}|$

$= x$

$|\text{box}(e)|$

$= \text{box}(|e|)$

$|\text{let } \text{box}(x) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2|$

$= \text{let } \text{box}(x) = |e_1| \text{ in } |e_2|$

$|\lambda x:A. e|$

$= \lambda x:A. |e|$

$|e_1, e_2|$

$= |e_1| |e_2|$

$|e|$  deletes  
modal variable  
annotations

# Proving Equivalence

---

Define  $\text{split}(\theta) = \Delta; \Gamma$

$$\text{split}(\cdot) = \cdot ; \cdot$$

$$\text{split}(\theta, x:A \text{ ok}) = \text{let } (\Delta; \Gamma) = \text{split}(\theta) \text{ in } (\Delta; \Gamma, x:A)$$

$$\text{split}(\theta, x:A \text{ valid}) = \text{let } (\Delta; \Gamma) = \text{split}(\theta) \text{ in } (\Delta, x:A; \Gamma)$$

## Properties

If  $\text{split}(\theta) = \Delta; \Gamma$  then

1.  $\text{split}(\theta^\square) = \Delta; \cdot$

2. if  $x:A \text{ ok} \in \theta$  then  $x:A \in \Gamma$

3. if  $x:A \text{ valid} \in \theta$  then  $x:A \in \Delta$

Proof: By induction on  $\theta$



# Embedding

---

If  $\Theta \vdash e : A \text{ ok}$

then  $\exists e', \Delta, \Gamma$  s.t.  $(\Delta; \Gamma) = \text{split}(\Theta)$ ,  $|e'| = e$ ,  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e' : A$

Proof: By induction on  $\Theta \vdash e : A$

# Embedding

---

If  $\Theta \vdash e : A \text{ ok}$

then  $\exists e', \Delta, \Gamma$  s.t.  $(\Delta; \Gamma) = \text{split}(\Theta)$ ,  $|e'| = e$ ,  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e' : A$

Case  $\frac{x : A \text{ g} \in \Theta}{\Theta \vdash x : A \text{ g}}$

let  $\Delta; \Gamma = \text{split}(\Theta)$

- Subcase  $\text{g} = \text{ok}$ :

Then  $x : A \in \Gamma$

$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x : A$  by rule

Choose  $e' = x$

- Subcase  $\text{g} = \text{valid}$ :

Then  $x : A \in \Delta$

$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \underline{x} : A$  by rule

Choose  $e' = \underline{x}$

# Embedding

---

If  $\Theta \vdash e : A \text{ ok}$

then let  $(\Delta; \Gamma) = \text{split}(\Theta)$  and  $\exists e'$  s.t.  $|e'| = e$  and  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e' : A$

Case  $\frac{\Theta, x:A \text{ ok} \vdash e : B}{\Theta \vdash \lambda x:A. e : A \rightarrow B}$

$\text{split}(\Theta, x:A \text{ ok}) = \Delta; \Gamma, x:A$

1.  $\Delta; \Gamma, x:A \vdash e'' : B$

2.  $|e''| = e$

3.  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e'' : A \rightarrow B$

4. Choose  $e' = \lambda x. e''$

5. Note  $|\lambda x. e''| = \lambda x. |e''|$

6.  $|\lambda x. e''| = \lambda x. e$

By definition of split  
By induction

By rule

By definition  
By 2.

# Embedding

---

If  $\Theta \vdash e : A \text{ ok}$

then let  $(\Delta; \Gamma) = \text{split}(\Theta)$  and  $\exists e' \text{ s.t. } |e'| = e$  and  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e' : A$

Case  $\frac{\Theta \vdash e_1 : A \rightarrow B \text{ ok} \quad \Theta \vdash e_2 : A \text{ ok}}{\Theta \vdash e_1 e_2 : B}$

Let  $\text{split}(\Theta) = \Delta; \Gamma$

1.  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1' : A \rightarrow B$

By induction

2.  $|e_1'| = e_1$

3.  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2' : A$

By induction

4.  $|e_2'| = e_2$

5.  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1' e_2' : B$

By rule

6. Choose  $e' = e_1' e_2'$

7. Observe  $|e_1' e_2'| = |e_1'| |e_2'|$

By definition

$= e_1 |e_2'|$

By 2.

$= e_1 e_2$

By 4.

# Embedding

---

If  $\Theta \vdash e : A \text{ ok}$

then let  $(\Delta; \Gamma) = \text{split}(\Theta)$  and  $\exists e'$  s.t.  $|e'| = e$  and  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e' : A$

Case 
$$\frac{\frac{\Theta^\square \vdash e : A \text{ ok}}{\Theta \vdash e : A \text{ valid}}}{\Theta \vdash \text{box}(e) : \square A \text{ ok}}$$

Let  $\text{split}(\Theta) = \Delta; \Gamma$

1.  $\text{split}(\Theta^\square) = \Delta; \cdot$
2.  $\Delta; \cdot \vdash e'' : A$
3.  $|e''| = e$
4.  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{box}(e'') : \square A$
5. Choose  $e' = \text{box}(e'')$
6.  $|\text{box}(e'')| = \text{box}(|e''|)$
7.  $\quad \quad \quad = \text{box}(e)$

By lemma

By induction

By rule

By definition

By 3.

# Embedding

---

If  $\Theta \vdash e : A$  ok

then let  $(\Delta; \Gamma) = \text{split}(\Theta)$  and  $\exists e'$ 's.t.  $|e'| = e$  and  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e' : A$

Case  $\frac{\Theta \vdash e_1 : \Box A \text{ ok} \quad \Theta, x:A \text{ valid} \vdash e_2 : B \text{ ok}}{\Theta \vdash \text{let } \text{box}(x) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : B \text{ ok}}$

Let  $\text{split}(\Theta) = \Delta; \Gamma$

1.  $\text{split}(\Theta, x:A \text{ valid}) = (\Delta, x:A); \Gamma$

By definition

2.  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1' : \Box A$

By induction

3.  $|e_1'| = e_1$

4.  $\Delta, x:A; \Gamma \vdash e_2' : B$

By induction

5.  $|e_2'| = e_2$

6.  $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{let } \text{box}(x) = e_1' \text{ in } e_2' : B$

By rule

7. Choose  $e' = \text{let } \text{box}(x) = e_1' \text{ in } e_2'$

8.  $|\text{let } \text{box}(x) = e_1' \text{ in } e_2'| = \text{let } \text{box}(x) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$

By 3, 5

# Multiple Modalities

---

If there are multiple modalities

- We need a context for each one
- $n$  modalities  $\Rightarrow$   $n$  contexts
- The single context style works better with many modalities

# Adding Additional Modalities

---

The  $\Box A$  modality must validate:

$$K: \Box A \times \Box B \longrightarrow \Box(A \times B)$$

$$T: \Box A \longrightarrow A$$

$$4: \Box A \longrightarrow \Box \Box A$$



# Adding Additional Modalities

---

The  $\Box A$  modality must validate:

$$K: \Box A \times \Box B \longrightarrow \Box(A \times B)$$

$$T: \Box A \longrightarrow A$$

$$4: \Box A \longrightarrow \Box \Box A$$

Other, weaker modalities exist

# The K modality

---

The weakest well-behaved modality satisfies:

$$K: \Box A \times \Box B \longrightarrow \Box(A \times B)$$

# An Alternate Presentation

$A ::= b \mid A \rightarrow B \mid \square A \mid \bullet A$

$q ::= \text{ok} \mid \text{valid} \mid \text{later}$

$\Theta ::= \bullet \mid \Theta, x : A_q$

$\Theta \vdash \underbrace{e : A_q}$

└ Typing judge parameterized  
by mode

# One Context S4

$$\frac{x: A \text{ ok} \in \Theta \quad \text{ok} \in \{\text{ok}, \text{valid}\}}{\Theta \vdash x: A \text{ ok}}$$

$$\frac{\Theta, x: A \text{ ok} \vdash e: B \text{ ok}}{\Theta \vdash \lambda x. e: A \rightarrow B \text{ ok}} \quad \frac{\Theta \vdash e_1: A \rightarrow B \text{ ok} \quad \Theta \vdash e_2: A \text{ ok}}{\Theta \vdash e_1 e_2: B \text{ ok}}$$

$$\frac{\Theta \vdash e: A \text{ valid}}{\Theta \vdash \text{box}(e): \Box A \text{ ok}} \quad \frac{\Theta \vdash e_1: \Box A \text{ ok} \quad \Theta, x: A \text{ valid} \vdash e_2: B \text{ ok}}{\Theta \vdash \text{let box}(x) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2: B \text{ ok}}$$

$$\frac{\Theta^\square \vdash e: A \text{ ok}}{\Theta \vdash e: A \text{ valid}}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (\cdot)^\square = \cdot \\ (\Theta, x: A \text{ ok})^\square = \Theta^\square \\ (\Theta, x: A \text{ valid})^\square = \Theta^\square, x: A \text{ valid} \\ (\Theta, x: A \text{ later})^\square = \Theta^\square \end{array} \right\} \text{Delete the ok variables}$$

# Adding a K-type modality

---

$$\frac{\Theta \vdash e : A \text{ later}}{\Theta \vdash \delta(e) : \bullet A \text{ ok}}$$

$$\frac{\Theta \vdash e_1 : \bullet A \text{ ok} \quad \Theta, x : A \text{ later} \vdash e_2 : B \text{ ok}}{\Theta \vdash \text{let } \delta(x) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : B \text{ ok}}$$

$$\frac{\Theta^\bullet \vdash e : A \text{ ok}}{\Theta \vdash e : A \text{ later}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\cdot)^\bullet &= \cdot \\ (\Theta, x : A \text{ valid})^\bullet &= \Theta^\bullet, x : A \text{ valid} \\ (\Theta, x : A \text{ later})^\bullet &= \Theta^\bullet, x : A \text{ ok} \\ (\Theta, x : A \text{ ok})^\bullet &= \Theta^\bullet \end{aligned}$$

# Weakening

$$\boxed{\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'}$$

$$\frac{}{\bullet \sqsubseteq \bullet}$$

$$\frac{\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'}{\theta, x:A_g \sqsubseteq \theta', x:A_g}$$

$$\frac{\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'}{\theta \sqsubseteq \theta', x:A_g}$$

Properties:

1. If  $x:A_g \in \theta_1$  and  $\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_2$  then  $x:A_g \in \theta_2$

2. If  $\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_2$  then  $\theta_1^\bullet \sqsubseteq \theta_2^\bullet$

3. If  $\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_2$  then  $\theta_1^\square \sqsubseteq \theta_2^\square$

4.  $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta$

5. If  $\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_2$  and  $\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_3$  then  $\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_3$

# The Weakening Lemma

---

If  $\Theta' \supseteq \Theta$  and  $\Theta \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta' \vdash e : A_g$

Proof: By induction on the derivation  $\Theta \vdash e : A_g$

# The Weakening Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A_g$

Case 
$$\frac{\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_{\text{later}}}{\Theta_1 \vdash \delta(e) : \bullet A_{\text{ok}}}$$

1.  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$

2.  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_{\text{later}}$

3.  $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A_{\text{later}}$

4.  $\Theta_2 \vdash \delta(e) : \bullet A_{\text{ok}}$

By assumption  
Subderivation  
Induction  
Rule



# The Weakening Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A_g$

Case 
$$\frac{\Theta_1^\circ \vdash e : A_{ok}}{\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_{later}}$$

- |  |                           |
|--|---------------------------|
| 1. $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$             | By assumption             |
| 2. $\Theta_2^\circ \supseteq \Theta_1^\circ$ | Properties of $\supseteq$ |
| 3. $\Theta_1^\circ \vdash e : A_{ok}$        | Subderivation             |
| 4. $\Theta_2^\circ \vdash e : A_{ok}$        | Induction                 |
| 5. $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A_{later}$           | Rule                      |

# The Weakening Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A_g$

Case  $\frac{\Theta_1 \vdash e : A \text{ valid}}{\Theta_1 \vdash \text{box}(e) : \Box A \text{ ok}}$

1.  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$

2.  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A \text{ valid}$

3.  $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A \text{ valid}$

4.  $\Theta_2 \vdash \delta(e) : \Box A \text{ now}$

By assumption

Subderivation

Induction

Rule

# The Weakening Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A_g$

Case  $\frac{\Theta_1^\square \vdash e : A_{ok}}{\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_{valid}}$

1.  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$
2.  $\Theta_2^\square \supseteq \Theta_1^\square$
3.  $\Theta_1^\square \vdash e : A_{ok}$
4.  $\Theta_2^\square \vdash e : A_{ok}$
5.  $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A_{valid}$

By assumption  
Properties of  $\supseteq$   
Subderivation  
Induction  
Rule

# The Weakening Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A_g$

Case  $\frac{\Theta_1, x:A_{ok} \vdash e : B_{ok}}{\Theta_1 \vdash \lambda x:A.e : A \rightarrow B_{ok}}$

1.  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$  By assumption
2.  $\Theta_2, x:A_{ok} \supseteq \Theta_1, x:A_{ok}$  By rule
3.  $\Theta_1, x:A_{ok} \vdash e : B_{ok}$  Subderivation
4.  $\Theta_2, x:A_{ok} \vdash e : B_{ok}$  Induction
5.  $\Theta_2 \vdash \lambda x:A.e : A \rightarrow B_{ok}$  Rule

# The Weakening Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A_g$

Case  $\frac{\Theta_1 \vdash e_1 : A \rightarrow B \quad \Theta_1 \vdash e_2 : A}{\Theta_1 \vdash e_1 e_2 : B}$

1.  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$

2.  $\Theta_1 \vdash e_1 : A \rightarrow B$

3.  $\Theta_2 \vdash e_1 : A \rightarrow B$

4.  $\Theta_1 \vdash e_2 : A$

5.  $\Theta_2 \vdash e_2 : A$

6.  $\Theta_2 \vdash e_1 e_2 : B$

By assumption

Subderivation

Induction

Subderivation

Induction

Rule

# The Weakening Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A_g$

Case  $\frac{\Theta_1 \vdash e_1 : \Box A \quad \Theta_1, x:A \text{ valid} \vdash e_2 : B \text{ ok}}{\Theta_1 \vdash \text{let box}(x) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : B \text{ ok}}$

1.  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$  By assumption
2.  $\Theta_1 \vdash e_1 : \Box A$  Subderivation
3.  $\Theta_2 \vdash e_1 : \Box A$  Induction
4.  $\Theta_2, x:A \text{ valid} \supseteq \Theta_1, x:A \text{ valid}$  Rule
5.  $\Theta_1, x:A \text{ valid} \vdash e_2 : B \text{ now}$  Subderivation
6.  $\Theta_2, x:A \text{ valid} \vdash e_2 : B \text{ now}$  Induction
7.  $\Theta_2 \vdash \text{let box}(x) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : B \text{ now}$  Rule

# The Weakening Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash e : A_g$

Case  $\frac{\Theta_1 \vdash e_1 : \bullet A \quad \Theta_1, x:A_{\text{later}} \vdash e_2 : B_{\text{ok}}}{\Theta_1 \vdash \text{let } \delta(x) = e_1, \text{ in } e_2 : B_{\text{ok}}}$

1.  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$

2.  $\Theta_1 \vdash e_1 : \bullet A$

3.  $\Theta_2 \vdash e_1 : \bullet A$

4.  $\Theta_2, x:A_{\text{later}} \supseteq \Theta_1, x:A_{\text{valid}}$

5.  $\Theta_1, x:A_{\text{later}} \vdash e_2 : B_{\text{now}}$

6.  $\Theta_2, x:A_{\text{later}} \vdash e_2 : B_{\text{now}}$

7.  $\Theta_2 \vdash \text{let } \delta(x) = e_1, \text{ in } e_2 : B_{\text{now}}$

By assumption

Subderivation

Induction

Rule

Subderivation

Induction

Rule

# Substitution

$$\boxed{\theta \vdash \sigma : \theta'}$$

$$\frac{}{\theta \vdash \cdot : \cdot}$$

$$\frac{\theta \vdash \sigma : \theta' \quad \theta \vdash e : A_g}{\theta \vdash (\sigma, e/x_g) : (\theta', x : A_g)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\cdot)^\square &= \cdot \\ (\sigma, e/x \text{ valid})^\square &= \sigma^\square, e/x \text{ valid} \\ (\sigma, e/x \text{ later})^\square &= \sigma^\square \\ (\sigma, e/x \text{ ok})^\square &= \sigma^\square \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\cdot)^\circ &= \cdot \\ (\sigma, e/x \text{ valid})^\circ &= \sigma^\circ, e/x \text{ valid} \\ (\sigma, e/x \text{ later})^\circ &= \sigma^\circ, e/x \text{ ok} \\ (\sigma, e/x \text{ ok})^\circ &= \sigma^\circ \end{aligned}$$

Properties: If  $\theta_2 \vdash \sigma : \theta_1$  then

1.  $\theta_2^\square \vdash \sigma^\square : \theta_1^\square$

2.  $\theta_2^\circ \vdash \sigma^\circ : \theta_1^\circ$



# Applying Substitution

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma] x &= e && \text{if } (e/x) \in \sigma \wedge q \neq \text{later} \\ [\sigma] \text{box}(e) &= \text{box}([\sigma^0] e) \\ [\sigma] \text{let } \text{box}(x) = e_1, \text{ in } e_2 &= \text{let } \text{box}(x) = [\sigma] e_1, \text{ in } [\sigma, x/x] e_2 \\ [\sigma] \delta(e) &= \delta([\sigma^0] e) \\ [\sigma] \text{let } \delta(x) = e_1, \text{ in } e_2 &= \text{let } \delta(x) = [\sigma] e_1, \text{ in } [\sigma, x/x] e_2 \\ [\sigma] \lambda x:A. e &= \lambda x:A. [\sigma, x/x] e \\ [\sigma] (e_1, e_2) &= [\sigma] e_1, [\sigma] e_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma]^{ok} e &= [\sigma] e \\ [\sigma]^{later} e &= [\sigma^0] e \\ [\sigma]^{valid} e &= [\sigma^0] e \end{aligned}$$

# Weakening + Substitution

---

If  $\Theta_2 \supseteq \Theta_1$ , and  $\Theta_1 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_0$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_0$

Proof: By induction on  $\Theta_1 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_0$

# The Substitution Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma]^b e : A_g$

Proof: By induction on  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$

# The Substitution Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma]^g e : A_g$

Case: 
$$\frac{\Theta_1^o \vdash e : A_{ok}}{\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_{later}}$$

1.  $\Theta_2 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_1$  Assumption
2.  $\Theta_2^o \vdash \sigma^o : \Theta_1^o$  Property of substitution
3.  $\Theta_1^o \vdash e : A_{ok}$  Subderivation
4.  $\Theta_2^o \vdash [\sigma^o]^o e : A_{ok}$  Induction
5.  $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma^o]^o e : A_{later}$  Rule
6.  $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma]^{later} e : A_{later}$  Def. of  $[\sigma]^g$

# The Substitution Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma]^g e : A_g$

Case: 
$$\frac{\Theta_1^{ok} \vdash e : A_{ok}}{\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_{valid}}$$

- |    |  |                          |
|----|--|--------------------------|
| 1. | $\Theta_2 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_1$                  | Assumption               |
| 2. | $\Theta_2^{ok} \vdash \sigma^{ok} : \Theta_1^{ok}$   | Property of substitution |
| 3. | $\Theta_1^{ok} \vdash e : A_{ok}$                    | Subderivation            |
| 4. | $\Theta_2^{ok} \vdash [\sigma^{ok}]^{ok} e : A_{ok}$ | Induction                |
| 5. | $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma^{ok}]^{ok} e : A_{valid}$   | Rule                     |
| 6. | $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma]^{valid} e : A_{valid}$     | Def. of $[\sigma]^g$     |

# The Substitution Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma]^b e : A_g$

Case:  $\frac{\Theta_1 \vdash e : A \text{ valid}}{\Theta_1 \vdash \text{box}(e) : \Box A \text{ ok}}$

- |  |                                    |
|--|------------------------------------|
| 1. $\Theta_2 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_1$   | Assumption                         |
| 2. $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A \text{ valid}$                                       | Subderivation                      |
| 3. $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma]^{\text{valid}} e : A \text{ valid}$               | Induction                          |
| 4. $\Theta_2 \vdash \text{box}([\sigma]^{\text{valid}} e) : \Box A \text{ ok}$ | Rule                               |
| 5. $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma]^{\text{ok}} \text{box}(e) : \Box A \text{ ok}$    | Def of $[\sigma]^b$ and $[\sigma]$ |

# The Substitution Lemma

---

If  $\Theta_2 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_g$  then  $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma]^g e : A_g$

Case: 
$$\frac{\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_{later}}{\Theta_1 \vdash \delta(e) : \bullet A_{ok}}$$

1.  $\Theta_2 \vdash \sigma : \Theta_1$
2.  $\Theta_1 \vdash e : A_{later}$
3.  $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma]^{later} e : A_{valid}$
4.  $\Theta_2 \vdash \delta([\sigma]^{valid} e) : \bullet A_{ok}$
5.  $\Theta_2 \vdash [\sigma]^{ok} \delta(e) : \bullet A_{ok}$

Assumption

Subderivation

Induction

Rule

Def of  $[\sigma]^g$  and  $[\sigma]$