

Functional Programming

Practicals 3. Program Calculation

Shin-Cheng Mu

July 2018

1. **Longest positive segment.** The function lpp computes the length of the longest prefix that is all positive:

$$\begin{aligned} lpp &:: \text{List Int} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \\ lpp [] &= 0 \\ lpp (x:xs) &= \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } \mathbf{1}_+ (lpp\ xs) \text{ else } 0 . \end{aligned}$$

The function lps , using lpp , computes the length of the longest positive segment:

$$\begin{aligned} lps &:: \text{List Int} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \\ lps [] &= 0 \\ lps (x:xs) &= lpp (x:xs) \uparrow lps\ xs . \end{aligned}$$

- (a) What are the time complexities of lpp and lps , with respect to the lengths of their inputs?
(b) Calculate a faster version of lps , by tupling lps and lpp .

Solution: The function lps , defined this way, is a $O(n^2)$ program.

To calculate an linear-time version, we define:

$$lpsp\ xs = (lps\ xs, lpp\ xs) .$$

If we can construct a linear-time implementation of $lpsp$, we may define $lps = fst \cdot lpsp$. To calculate $lpsp$:

Case $xs := []$. Apparanly $lpsp\ [] = (0, 0)$.

Case $xs := x:xs$.

$$\begin{aligned} &lpsp (x:xs) \\ &= (lps (x:xs), lpp (x:xs)) \\ &= \{ \text{definitions of } lps \text{ and } lpp \} \\ &= ((\text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } \mathbf{1}_+ (lpp\ xs) \text{ else } 0) \uparrow lps\ xs, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{if } x > 0 \mathbf{ then } \mathbf{1}_+ (lpp \ xs) \mathbf{ else } 0 \\
= & \{ \text{lifting common sub-expressions} \} \\
& \mathbf{let } (m, n) = (lps \ xs, lpp \ xs) \\
& \quad k = \mathbf{if } x > 0 \mathbf{ then } \mathbf{1}_+ n \mathbf{ else } 0 \\
& \mathbf{in } (k \uparrow m, k) \\
= & \{ \text{definition of } lpsp \} \\
& \mathbf{let } (m, n) = lpsp \ xs \\
& \quad k = \mathbf{if } x > 0 \mathbf{ then } \mathbf{1}_+ n \mathbf{ else } 0 \\
& \mathbf{in } (k \uparrow m, k) .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have derived:

$$\begin{aligned}
lpsp \ [] &= (0, 0) \\
lpsp \ (x : xs) &= \mathbf{let } (m, n) = lpsp \ xs \\
& \quad k = \mathbf{if } x > 0 \mathbf{ then } \mathbf{1}_+ n \mathbf{ else } 0 \\
& \mathbf{in } (k \uparrow m, k) .
\end{aligned}$$

2. Let *descend* be defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
descend &:: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{List Nat} \\
descend \ 0 &= [] \\
descend \ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) &= \mathbf{1}_+ n : descend \ n .
\end{aligned}$$

(a) Let *sumseries* = *sum* · *descend*, synthesise an inductive definition of *f*.

Solution: It is immediate that $sum \ (descend \ 0) = 0$. For the inductive case we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
& sum \ (descend \ (\mathbf{1}_+ n)) \\
= & \{ \text{definition of } descend \} \\
& sum \ ((\mathbf{1}_+ n) : descend \ n) \\
= & \{ \text{definition of } sum \} \\
& \mathbf{1}_+ n + sum \ (descend \ n) \\
= & \{ \text{definition of } sum \} \\
& \mathbf{1}_+ n + sumseries \ n .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
sumseries \ 0 &= 0 \\
sumseries \ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) &= \mathbf{1}_+ n + sumseries \ n .
\end{aligned}$$

(b) The function $repeatN :: (\text{Nat}, a) \rightarrow \text{List } a$ is defined by

$$repeatN (n, x) = map (const x) (descend n) .$$

Thus $repeatN (n, x)$ produces n copies of x in a list. E.g. $repeatN (3, 'a') = "aaa"$. Calculate an inductive definition of $repeatN$.

Solution: It is immediate that $repeatN (0, x) = []$. For the inductive case we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & repeatN (\mathbf{1}_+ n, x) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } repeatN \} \\ & map (const x) (descend (\mathbf{1}_+ n)) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } descend \} \\ & map (const x) (\mathbf{1}_+ n : descend n) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } map \text{ and } const \} \\ & x : map (const x) (descend n) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } repeatN \} \\ & x : repeatN (n, x) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} repeatN (0, x) &= [] \\ repeatN (\mathbf{1}_+ n, x) &= x : repeatN (n, x) . \end{aligned}$$

(c) The function $rld :: \text{List } (\text{Nat}, a) \rightarrow \text{List } a$ performs run-length decoding:

$$rld = concat \cdot map repeatN .$$

For example, $rld [(2, 'a'), (3, 'b'), (1, 'c')] = "aabbbc"$. Come up with an inductive definition of rld .

Solution: For the base case:

$$\begin{aligned} & rld [] \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } rld \} \\ & concat (map repeatN []) \\ = & \{ \text{definitions of } map \text{ and } concat \} \\ & [] \end{aligned}$$

For the inductive case:

$$\begin{aligned} & rld ((n, x) : xs) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } rld \} \\ & concat (map repeatN ((n, x) : xs)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{ \text{definitions of } \mathit{map} \} \\
&\quad \mathit{concat} (\mathit{repeatN} (n,x) : \mathit{map} \mathit{repeatN} xs) \\
&= \{ \text{definitions of } \mathit{concat} \} \\
&\quad \mathit{repeatN} (n,x) \# \mathit{concat} (\mathit{map} \mathit{repeatN} xs) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } \mathit{rld} \} \\
&\quad \mathit{repeatN} (n,x) \# \mathit{rld} xs .
\end{aligned}$$

We have thus derived:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathit{rld} [] &= [] \\
\mathit{rld} ((n,x) : xs) &= \mathit{repeatN} (n,x) \# \mathit{rld} xs .
\end{aligned}$$

3. There is another way to define pos such that $\mathit{pos} x xs$ yields the index of the first occurrence of x in xs :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathit{pos} &:: \mathit{Eq} a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow \mathit{List} a \rightarrow \mathit{Int} \\
\mathit{pos} x &= \mathit{length} \cdot \mathit{takeWhile} (x \neq)
\end{aligned}$$

(This pos behaves differently from the one in the lecture when x does not occur in xs .) Construct an inductive definition of pos .

Solution: It is immediate that $\mathit{pos} x [] = 0$. For the inductive case we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathit{pos} x (y : xs) \\
&= \mathit{length} (\mathit{takeWhile} (x \neq) (y : xs)) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } \mathit{takeWhile} \} \\
&\quad \mathit{length} (\mathbf{if} x \neq y \mathbf{then} y : \mathit{takeWhile} (x \neq) xs \mathbf{else} []) \\
&= \{ \text{function application distributes into } \mathbf{if} \text{ (for total functions)} \} \\
&\quad \mathbf{if} x \neq y \mathbf{then} \mathit{length} (y : \mathit{takeWhile} (x \neq) xs) \mathbf{else} \mathit{length} [] \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } \mathit{length} \} \\
&\quad \mathbf{if} x \neq y \mathbf{then} 1 + \mathit{length} (\mathit{takeWhile} (x \neq) xs) \mathbf{else} 0 \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } \mathit{pos} \} \\
&\quad \mathbf{if} x \neq y \mathbf{then} 1 + \mathit{pos} x xs \mathbf{else} 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have constructed:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathit{pos} x [] &= 0 \\
\mathit{pos} x (y : xs) &= \mathbf{if} x \neq y \mathbf{then} 1 + \mathit{pos} x xs \mathbf{else} 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

4. Zipping and mapping.

(a) Let $second\ f\ (x,y) = (x, f\ y)$. Prove that $zip\ xs\ (map\ f\ ys) = map\ (second\ f)\ (zip\ xs\ ys)$.

Solution: Recall one of the possible definitions of *zip*:

$$\begin{aligned} zip\ []\ ys &= [] \\ zip\ (x : xs)\ [] &= [] \\ zip\ (x : xs)\ (y : ys) &= (x,y) : zip\ xs\ ys. \end{aligned}$$

Following the structure, we prove the proposition by induction on *xs* and *ys*. A tip for equational reasoning: it is usually easier to go from the more complex side to the simpler side, from the side with more structure to the side with less structure. Thus we start from the left-hand side.

Case $xs := []$.

$$\begin{aligned} &map\ (second\ f)\ (zip\ []\ ys) \\ = &\{ \text{definition of } zip \} \\ &map\ (second\ f)\ [] \\ = &\{ \text{definition of } map \} \\ &[] \\ = &\{ \text{definition of } zip \} \\ &zip\ []\ (map\ f\ ys). \end{aligned}$$

Case $xs := x : xs, ys := []$.

$$\begin{aligned} &map\ (second\ f)\ (zip\ (x : xs)\ []) \\ = &\{ \text{definition of } zip \} \\ &map\ (second\ f)\ [] \\ = &\{ \text{definition of } map \} \\ &[] \\ = &\{ \text{definition of } zip \} \\ &zip\ (x : xs)\ [] \\ = &\{ \text{definition of } map \} \\ &zip\ (x : xs)\ (map\ f\ []). \end{aligned}$$

Case $xs := x : xs, ys := y : ys$.

$$\begin{aligned} &map\ (second\ f)\ (zip\ (x : xs)\ (y : ys)) \\ = &\{ \text{definition of } zip \} \\ &map\ (second\ f)\ ((x,y) : zip\ xs\ ys) \\ = &\{ \text{definition of } map \} \\ &second\ f\ (x,y) : map\ (second\ f)\ (zip\ xs\ ys) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{ \text{definition of } \textit{second} \} \\
&\quad (x, f y) : \textit{map} (\textit{second} f) (\textit{zip} xs ys) \\
&= \{ \text{induction} \} \\
&\quad (x, f y) : \textit{zip} xs (\textit{map} f ys) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } \textit{zip} \} \\
&\quad \textit{zip} (x : xs) (f y : \textit{map} f ys) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } \textit{map} \} \\
&\quad \textit{zip} (x : xs) (\textit{map} f (y : ys)).
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Consider the following definition

$$\begin{aligned}
\textit{delete} &\quad :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List (List } a) \\
\textit{delete} [] &\quad = [] \\
\textit{delete} (x : xs) &= xs : \textit{map} (x:) (\textit{delete} xs) ,
\end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\textit{delete} [1, 2, 3, 4] = [[2, 3, 4], [1, 3, 4], [1, 2, 4], [1, 2, 3]] .$$

That is, each element in the input list is deleted in turns. Let $\textit{select} :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List (} a, \text{List } a)$ be defined by $\textit{select} xs = \textit{zip} xs (\textit{delete} xs)$. Come up with an inductive definition of \textit{select} .

Hint: you may find \textit{second} useful.

Solution: The base case $[]$ is immediate. For the inductive case:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textit{select} (x : xs) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } \textit{select} \} \\
&\quad \textit{zip} (x : xs) (\textit{delete} (x : xs)) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } \textit{delete} \} \\
&\quad \textit{zip} (x : xs) (xs : \textit{map} (x:) (\textit{delete} xs)) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } \textit{zip} \} \\
&\quad (x, xs) : \textit{zip} xs (\textit{map} (x:) (\textit{delete} xs)) \\
&= \{ \text{property proved above} \} \\
&\quad (x, xs) : \textit{map} (\textit{second} (x:)) (\textit{zip} xs (\textit{delete} xs)) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } \textit{select} \} \\
&\quad (x, xs) : \textit{map} (\textit{second} (x:)) (\textit{select} xs) .
\end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
\textit{select} [] &\quad = [] \\
\textit{select} (x : xs) &= (x, xs) : \textit{map} (\textit{second} (x:)) (\textit{select} xs) .
\end{aligned}$$

(c) An alternative specification of *delete* is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{delete } xs &= \text{map } (\text{del } xs) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1] \\ \text{where } \text{del } xs \ i &= \text{take } i \ xs \ \# \ \text{drop } (1 + i) \ xs \ , \end{aligned}$$

(here we take advantage of the fact that $[0..n]$ returns $[]$ when n is negative). From this specification, derive the inductive definition of *delete* given above. **Hint:** you may need the following property:

$$[0..n] = 0 : \text{map } (\mathbf{1}_+) [0..n-1], \text{ if } n \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

and the *map-fusion* law.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{delete } (x : xs) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{delete} \} \\ &\quad \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs)) [0.. \text{length } (x : xs) - 1] \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{length}, \text{ arithmetics} \} \\ &\quad \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs)) [0.. \text{length } xs] \\ &= \{ \text{length } xs \geq 0, \text{ by (1)} \} \\ &\quad \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs)) (0 : \text{map } (\mathbf{1}_+) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1]) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{map} \} \\ &\quad \text{del } (x : xs) \ 0 : \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs)) (\text{map } (\mathbf{1}_+) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1]) \\ &= \{ \text{map fusion (??)} \} \\ &\quad \text{del } (x : xs) \ 0 : \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_+)) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1] \end{aligned}$$

Now we pause for a while to inspect $\text{del } (x : xs)$. Apparently, $\text{del } (x : xs) \ 0 = xs$. For $\text{del } (x : xs) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_+)$ we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{del } (x : xs) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_+)) \ i \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } (\cdot) \} \\ &\quad \text{del } (x : xs) \ (\mathbf{1}_+ \ i) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{del} \} \\ &\quad \text{take } (\mathbf{1}_+ \ i) \ (x : xs) \ \# \ \text{drop } (\mathbf{1}_+ \ (\mathbf{1}_+ \ i)) \ (x : xs) \\ &= \{ \text{definitions of } \text{take} \text{ and } \text{drop} \} \\ &\quad x : \text{take } i \ xs \ \# \ \text{drop } (\mathbf{1}_+ \ i) \ xs \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{del} \} \\ &\quad x : \text{del } xs \ i \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } (\cdot) \} \\ &\quad ((x : \cdot) \ \text{del } xs) \ i \ . \end{aligned}$$

We resume the calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{del } (x : xs) \ 0 : \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_+)) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1] \\ &= \{ \text{calculation above} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& xs : \text{map } ((x:) \cdot \text{del } xs) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1] \\
= & \{ \text{map fusion (??)} \} \\
& xs : \text{map } (x:) (\text{map } (\text{del } xs) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1]) \\
= & \{ \text{definition of } \textit{delete} \} \\
& xs : \text{map } (x:) (\textit{delete } xs) .
\end{aligned}$$

We have thus derived the first, inductive definition of *delete*.

5. Assume that multiplication (\times) is a constant-time operation. One possible definition for $\textit{exp } m n = m^n$ could be:

$$\begin{aligned}
\textit{exp} & \quad \quad \quad :: \textit{Nat} \rightarrow \textit{Nat} \rightarrow \textit{Nat} \\
\textit{exp } m 0 & \quad \quad = 1 \\
\textit{exp } m (1 + n) & = m \times \textit{exp } m n
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to compute $\textit{exp } m n$, multiplication is called n times: $m \times m \times \dots \times m \times 1$. Can we do better?

Yet another way to represent a natural number is to use the binary representation.

- (a) The function $\textit{binary} :: \textit{Nat} \rightarrow [\textit{Bool}]$ returns the *reversed* binary representation of a natural number. For example:

$$\begin{aligned}
\textit{binary } 0 & = [], \\
\textit{binary } 1 & = [T], \\
\textit{binary } 2 & = [F, T], \\
\textit{binary } 3 & = [T, T], \\
\textit{binary } 4 & = [F, F, T].
\end{aligned}$$

Given the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\textit{even} & :: \textit{Nat} \rightarrow \textit{Bool}, \text{ returning true iff the input is even,} \\
\textit{odd} & :: \textit{Nat} \rightarrow \textit{Bool}, \text{ returning true iff the input is odd, and} \\
\textit{div} & :: \textit{Nat} \rightarrow \textit{Nat} \rightarrow \textit{Nat}, \text{ for integral division,}
\end{aligned}$$

define *binary*. You may just present the code.

Hint One possible implementation discriminates between 3 cases – the input is 0, the input is odd, and the input is even.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
\textit{binary} & \quad :: \textit{Nat} \rightarrow \textit{List } \textit{Bool} \\
\textit{binary } 0 & = [] \\
\textit{binary } n & \mid \textit{even } n = \textit{False} : \textit{binary } (n \textit{'div'} 2) \\
& \quad \mid \textit{odd } n = \textit{True} : \textit{binary } ((n - 1) \textit{'div'} 2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{roll } (m \times m) \text{ } xs$$

Case $xs = \text{True} : xs$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{roll } m \text{ } (\text{True} : xs) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{roll} \} \\ & \text{exp } m \text{ } (\text{decimal } (\text{True} : xs)) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{decimal} \} \\ & \text{exp } m \text{ } (1 + 2 \times \text{decimal } xs) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{exp} \} \\ & m \times \text{exp } m \text{ } (2 \times \text{decimal } xs) \\ = & \{ \text{arithmetic: } m^{2n} = (m^2)^n \} \\ & m \times \text{exp } (m \times m) \text{ } (\text{decimal } xs) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{roll} \} \\ & m \times \text{roll } (m \times m) \text{ } xs \end{aligned}$$

We have thus constructed:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{roll } m \text{ } [] &= 1 \\ \text{roll } m \text{ } (\text{False} : xs) &= \text{roll } (m \times m) \text{ } xs \\ \text{roll } m \text{ } (\text{True} : xs) &= m \times \text{roll } (m \times m) \text{ } xs \end{aligned}$$

Remark If the fusion succeeds, we have derived a program computing m^n :

$$\text{fastexp } m = \text{roll } m \cdot \text{binary}.$$

The algorithm runs in time proportional to the length of the list generated by *binary*, which is $O(\log_2 n)$.

6. Alternatively, define *repeatN* by:

$$\text{repeatN } (n, x) = \text{map } (\text{const } x) [0..n-1] .$$

(a) Try to construct an inductive definition of *repeatN* by induction on n , and see how this might not work.

(b) Define $\text{repeatFrom } i \text{ } (n, x) = \text{map } (\text{const } x) [i..n-1]$.

7. The function *from* generates an infinite list of numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{from} &:: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{List Int} \\ \text{from } n &= n : \text{from } (1 + n) \end{aligned}$$

In fact, $from\ n = [n..]$. Consider the following definition:

$$\begin{aligned} positions &:: (a \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow List\ a \rightarrow List\ Int \\ positions\ p &= map\ fst \cdot filter\ (p \cdot snd) \cdot zip\ (from\ 0) \end{aligned}$$

One problem with the definition is that it builds many intermediate lists in the middle. Try deriving, with algebraic calculation, an alternative definition of *positions* that do not build those intermediate lists.

Hint: Start with trying to construct a definition of *positions p xs* that is inductively defined on *xs*. You might then find out that this does not work, and you need to define a generalised function, for which *positions p xs* is a special case.

Solution: One may start with trying to inductively define *positions p* on the input list. We omit the base case and look at the inductive case:

$$\begin{aligned} positions\ p\ (x : xs) & \\ &= map\ fst\ (filter\ (p \cdot snd)\ (zip\ (from\ 0)\ (x : xs))) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of zip} \} \\ &\quad map\ fst\ (filter\ (p \cdot snd)\ ((0,x) : zip\ (from\ 1)\ xs)) \end{aligned}$$

We may proceed with it but soon we will encounter difficulty not being able to fold back $map\ fst\ (filter\ (p \cdot snd)\ (zip\ (from\ 1)\ xs))$.

Instead, we define

$$\begin{aligned} posFrom &:: (a \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow Int \rightarrow List\ a \rightarrow List\ Int \\ posFrom\ p\ n\ xs &= map\ fst\ (filter\ (p \cdot snd)\ (zip\ (from\ n)\ xs)) \end{aligned}$$

If we can construct a quick definition of *posFrom*, we may simply let

$$positions\ p\ xs = posFrom\ p\ 0\ xs$$

Now we try to construct *posFrom*. The base case *posFrom p n xs* is easy. We look at the inductive case with input *x : xs*:

$$\begin{aligned} posFrom\ p\ n\ (x : xs) & \\ &= map\ fst\ (filter\ (p \cdot snd)\ (zip\ (from\ n)\ (x : xs))) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of zip} \} \\ &\quad map\ fst\ (filter\ (p \cdot snd)\ ((n,x) : zip\ (from\ (1+n))\ xs)) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of filter} \} \\ &\quad map\ fst\ (\mathbf{if}\ (p\ (snd\ (n,x)))\ \mathbf{then}\ (n,x) : filter\ (p \cdot snd)\ (zip\ (from\ (1+n))\ xs) \\ &\quad\quad\quad \mathbf{else}\ filter\ (p \cdot snd)\ (zip\ (from\ (1+n))\ xs)) \\ &= \{ \text{function composition, snd} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{map fst (if } p \ x \ \text{then } (n,x) : \text{filter } (p \cdot \text{snd}) \ (\text{zip } (\text{from } (1+n)) \ xs) \\
& \quad \text{else filter } (p \cdot \text{snd}) \ (\text{zip } (\text{from } (1+n)) \ xs) \\
= & \ \{ f \ (\text{if } q \ \text{then } e_1 \ \text{else } e_2) = \text{if } q \ \text{then } f \ e_1 \ \text{else } f \ e_2 \} \\
& \ \text{if } p \ x \ \text{then map fst } ((n,x) : \text{filter } (p \cdot \text{snd}) \ (\text{zip } (\text{from } (1+n)) \ xs)) \\
& \quad \text{else map fst } (\text{filter } (p \cdot \text{snd}) \ (\text{zip } (\text{from } (1+n)) \ xs)) \\
= & \ \{ \text{definition of map} \} \\
& \ \text{if } p \ x \ \text{then } n : \text{map fst filter } (p \cdot \text{snd}) \ (\text{zip } (\text{from } (1+n)) \ xs) \\
& \quad \text{else map fst } (\text{filter } (p \cdot \text{snd}) \ (\text{zip } (\text{from } (1+n)) \ xs)) \\
= & \ \{ \text{definition of posFrom} \} \\
& \ \text{if } p \ x \ \text{then } n : \text{posFrom } p \ (1+n) \ xs \\
& \quad \text{else posFrom } p \ (1+n) \ xs
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{posFrom } p \ n \ [] & = [] \\
\text{posFrom } p \ n \ (x : xs) & = \text{if } p \ x \ \text{then } n : \text{posFrom } p \ (1+n) \ xs \\
& \quad \text{else posFrom } p \ (1+n) \ xs
\end{aligned}$$

8. Prove that $\text{reverse} \cdot \text{reverse} = \text{id}$ (for finite lists). It will turn out that you need to prove a stronger lemma, which may need the alternative definition of reverse in terms of revcat .

Solution:

The goal is to prove that

$$\text{reverse } (\text{reverse } xs) = xs \tag{2}$$

which, if we take $\text{reverse } xs = \text{revcat } xs \ []$ as known, is equivalent to

$$\text{reverse } (\text{revcat } xs \ []) = xs \tag{3}$$

The base case for $[]$ is trivial, for the inductive case $(x : xs)$, our first attempt could be

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{reverse } (\text{reverse } (x : xs)) \\
= & \ \{ \text{reverse } xs = \text{revcat } xs \ [] \} \\
& \text{reverse } (\text{revcat } (x : xs) \ []) \\
= & \ \{ \text{definition of revcat} \} \\
& \text{reverse } (\text{revcat } xs \ [x])
\end{aligned}$$

Then we are stuck — we cannot use (??) as the inductive hypothesis, since we have $[x]$, not $[]$, as the argument of *revcat*.

Thus we generalise (??) to

$$\text{reverse} (\text{revcat } xs \ ys) = ?$$

what should the right-hand side be? A moment's thought leads to

$$\text{reverse} (\text{revcat } xs \ ys) = \text{revcat } ys \ xs \tag{4}$$

Or something equivalent (e.g. $\text{reverse} (\text{revcat } xs \ ys) = \text{reverse } ys ++ xs$. If you use this one you may need some more additional steps in the proof later, but it still works anyway).

Note that once we prove (??), (??) follows as a corollary by letting $ys = []$. Thus we do not need another inductive proof for (??).

We prove (??) by induction on xs . The base case $[]$ is omitted. For the inductive case:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{reverse} (\text{revcat } (x : xs) \ ys) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of revcat} \} \\ & \text{reverse} (\text{revcat } xs \ (x : ys)) \\ = & \{ \text{induction hypothesis} \} \\ & \text{revcat } (x : ys) \ xs \\ = & \{ \text{definition of revcat} \} \\ & \text{revcat } ys \ (x : xs) \end{aligned}$$

In fact, you could rephrase (??) as

$$\text{reverse} (\text{reverse } xs ++ ys) = \text{reverse } ys ++ xs$$

and use only the original definition of *reverse* (that is, $\text{reverse } (x : xs) = \text{reverse } xs ++ [x]$), and the fact that $(++)$ is associative. Thinking in terms of *revcat* was how I discovered (??), though.

9. Recall the standard definition of factorial:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fact} & \quad \quad \quad :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \\ \text{fact } 0 & \quad \quad = 1, \\ \text{fact } (\mathbf{1}_+ n) & = (\mathbf{1}_+ n) \times \text{fact } n. \end{aligned}$$

This program implicitly uses space linear to n in the call stack.

1. Introduce *factit* $n \ m = \dots$ where m is an accumulating parameter.
2. Express *fact* in terms of *factit*.

3. Construct a space efficient implementation of *factit*.

Solution: To exploit associativity of (\times) , we define:

$$factit\ n\ m = m \times fact\ n.$$

We recover *fact* by letting

$$fact\ n = factit\ n\ 1.$$

To construct *factit* we derive:

Case $n := 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & factit\ 0\ m \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } factit \} \\ & m \times fact\ 0 \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } fact \} \\ & m. \end{aligned}$$

Case $n := \mathbf{1}_+ n$:

$$\begin{aligned} & factit\ (\mathbf{1}_+ n)\ m \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } factit \} \\ & m \times fact\ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } fact \} \\ & m \times ((\mathbf{1}_+ n) \times fact\ n) \\ = & \{ (\times) \text{ associative} \} \\ & (m \times (\mathbf{1}_+ n)) \times fact\ n \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } factit \} \\ & factit\ n\ (m \times (\mathbf{1}_+ n)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} factit\ 0\ m & = m \\ factit\ (\mathbf{1}_+ n)\ m & = factit\ n\ (m \times (\mathbf{1}_+ n)). \end{aligned}$$

10. Recall the standard definition of Fibonacci:

$$\begin{aligned} fib\ 0 & = 0 \\ fib\ 1 & = 1 \\ fib\ (\mathbf{1}_+ (\mathbf{1}_+ n)) & = fib\ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) + fib\ n. \end{aligned}$$

Let us try to derive a linear-time, tail-recursive algorithm computing *fib*.

1. Given the definition $\text{fib } n \ x \ y = \text{fib } n \times x + \text{fib } (\mathbf{1}_+ \ n) \times y$. Express *fib* using *ffib*.
2. Derive a linear-time version of *ffib*.

Solution: $\text{fib } n = \text{ffib } n \ 1 \ 0$.

To construct *ffib*, we calculate:

Case $n := 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ffib } 0 \ x \ y \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{ffib} \} \\ & \text{fib } 0 \times x + \text{fib } 1 \times y \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{fib} \} \\ & 0 \times x + 1 \times y \\ = & \{ \text{arithmetics} \} \\ & y \end{aligned}$$

Case $n := \mathbf{1}_+ \ n$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ffib } (\mathbf{1}_+ \ n) \ x \ y \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{ffib} \} \\ & \text{fib } (\mathbf{1}_+ \ n) \times x + \text{fib } (\mathbf{1}_+ (\mathbf{1}_+ \ n)) \times y \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{fib} \} \\ & \text{fib } (\mathbf{1}_+ \ n) \times x + (\text{fib } (\mathbf{1}_+ \ n) + \text{fib } n) \times y \\ = & \{ \text{arithmetics} \} \\ & \text{fib } (\mathbf{1}_+ \ n) \times (x + y) + \text{fib } n \times y \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{ffib} \} \\ & \text{ffib } n \ y \ (x + y) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ffib } 0 \ x \ y & = y \\ \text{ffib } (\mathbf{1}_+ \ n) \ x \ y & = \text{ffib } n \ y \ (x + y) \end{aligned}$$

11. The following problem concerns calculating the sum $\sum_{i=0}^n (x_i \times y^i)$. Let *geo* be defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{geo } y & = 1 : \text{map } (y \times) \ (\text{geo } y), \\ \text{horner } y \ xs & = \text{sum } (\text{map } \text{mul } (\text{zip } xs \ (\text{geo } y))), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{mul } (a, b) = a \times b$. Let $xs = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$, *horner* $y \ xs$ computes the sum $x_0 + x_1 \times y + x_2 \times y^2 + \dots + x_n \times y^n$.

- (a) Show that $mul \cdot second (y \times) = (y \times) \cdot mul$.
(Remark: for those who familiar with currying, $mul = uncurry (\times)$.)

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & mul (second (y \times) (x, z)) \\
 = & \{ \text{definition of } second \} \\
 & mul (x, y \times z) \\
 = & \{ \text{definition of } mul \} \\
 & x \times (y \times z) \\
 = & \{ \text{arithmetics} \} \\
 & y \times (x \times z) \\
 = & \{ \text{definition of } mul \} \\
 & y \times mul (x, z).
 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Let $n = length\ xs$. Asymptotically (that is, in terms of the big-O notation), how many multiplications (\times) one must perform to compute $horner\ y\ xs$?
- (c) Construct an inductive definition of $horner$ that uses only $O(n)$ multiplications to compute $horner\ y\ xs$. **Hint:** you will need properties proved in the previous problems in this exercise, and a property in the midterm exam concerning sum and $map (y \times)$, and perhaps some more properties. Unlike in the previous problem, however, you do not need to generalise $horner$.

Solution: We construct an inductive definition of $horner$ by case analysis.

Case $xs := []$. It is immediate that $horner\ y\ [] = 0$. Details omitted.

Case $xs := x : xs$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & horner\ y\ (x : xs) \\
 = & \{ \text{definition of } horner \} \\
 & sum (map\ mul (zip (x : xs) (geo\ y))) \\
 = & \{ \text{definition of } geo \} \\
 & sum (map\ mul (zip (x : xs) (1 : map (y \times) (geo\ y)))) \\
 = & \{ \text{definition of } zip \} \\
 & sum (map\ mul ((x, 1) : zip\ xs (map (y \times) (geo\ y)))) \\
 = & \{ \text{definition of } map\ \text{and } mul \} \\
 & sum (x : map\ mul (zip\ xs (map (y \times) (geo\ y)))) \\
 = & \{ \text{definition of } sum \} \\
 & x + sum (map\ mul (zip\ xs (map (y \times) (geo\ y))))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{ \text{since } \text{zip } xs \ (\text{map } f \ ys) = \text{map } (\text{second } f) \ (\text{zip } xs \ ys) \} \\
&\quad x + \text{sum } (\text{map } \text{mul } (\text{map } (\text{second } (y \times)) \ (\text{zip } xs \ (\text{geo } y)))) \\
&= \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{map } g = \text{map } (f \cdot g) \} \\
&\quad x + \text{sum } (\text{map } (\text{mul} \cdot \text{second } (y \times)) \ (\text{zip } xs \ (\text{geo } y))) \\
&= \{ \text{since } \text{mul} \cdot \text{second } (y \times) = (y \times) \cdot \text{mul} \} \\
&\quad x + \text{sum } (\text{map } ((y \times) \cdot \text{mul}) \ (\text{zip } xs \ (\text{geo } y))) \\
&= \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{map } g = \text{map } (f \cdot g) \} \\
&\quad x + \text{sum } (\text{map } (y \times) \ (\text{map } \text{mul} \ (\text{zip } xs \ (\text{geo } y)))) \\
&= \{ \text{since } \text{sum} \cdot \text{map } (y \times) = (y \times) \cdot \text{sum} \} \\
&\quad x + y \times \text{sum } (\text{map } \text{mul} \ (\text{zip } xs \ (\text{geo } y))) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } \text{horner} \} \\
&\quad x + y \times \text{horner } y \ xs.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{horner } y \ [] &= 0 \\
\text{horner } y \ (x : xs) &= x + y \times \text{horner } y \ xs.
\end{aligned}$$