## Logic Homework for Lecture II

## Max Schäfer

Please answer as many of the following questions as you can, in Chinese or English, on the provided answer sheet and hand it to me on or before **July 7**, **2008**. No delayed submissions will be accepted.

Do not feel pressured to complete *all* questions. The grading of your homework will not be based on how many questions you solve, but on how well you do compared with your classmates.

## **1** Sequent Calculus for Propositional Logic

Give derivations of the following sequents:

$$\begin{split} 1. \ \vdash (P \land Q \to R) \to (P \to Q \to R) \\ 2. \ \vdash P \to \neg \neg P \\ 3. \ \vdash (P \to Q) \lor (Q \to P) \\ 4. \ \vdash P \lor \neg P \\ 5. \ \vdash \neg (P \land Q) \leftrightarrow \neg P \lor \neg Q \end{split}$$

## 2 Sequent Calculus for First-order Logic

*Hint:* To solve the problems below, you may make use of the fact that  $\varphi[x/x] \equiv \varphi$  for any formula  $\varphi$  and any variable x.

- 1. Give a derivation of  $\vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow (\forall x.\varphi)$ , where  $\varphi$  is a formula such that  $x \notin FV(\varphi)$ . Which part of the derivation fails when this condition is not satisfied?
- 2. Can you give a derivation of  $\vdash (\forall x.\varphi) \rightarrow (\exists x.\varphi)$  for any formula  $\varphi$ ? Would you accept this inference step in a mathematical proof? Why or why not?
- 3. Show that  $\vdash_{\mathrm{LK}} \neg(\exists x.\neg\varphi) \rightarrow (\forall x.\varphi)$  for any formula  $\varphi$ .
- 4. Show that  $\vdash_{\mathrm{LK}} (\exists x. \forall y. \varphi) \to (\forall y. \exists x. \varphi)$  for any formula  $\varphi$ .

Give a structure  $\mathcal{M}$  and a formula  $\varphi$  with free variables x and y such that  $\mathcal{M} \models \forall y. \exists x. \varphi$ , but  $\mathcal{M} \not\models \exists x. \forall y. \varphi$ .