

# Program Construction and Reasoning

## Exercises for Day 2

Shin-Cheng Mu

July 7th, 2008

### 1 In-Class Exercises

#### 1.1 Folds and Fold-Fusion

1. Given functions  $f :: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $g :: \alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ ,  $split\ f\ g :: \alpha \rightarrow (\beta, \gamma)$  is a function defined by:

$$split\ f\ g\ a = (f\ a, g\ a).$$

Recall the definition of *steep* and *sum*. The definition of *steepsum* can be re-written as:

$$steepsum = split\ steep\ sum.$$

Also recall that the identity function *id* on lists is a fold:  $id = foldr\ (\cdot)\ []$ . Use the fold-fusion theorem to fuse  $steepsum \cdot id$  into one fold.

**Ans:**

We reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & steepsum \\ = & \quad \{ \text{since } f = f \cdot id \} \\ & steepsum \cdot id \\ = & \quad \{ \text{since } id = foldr\ (\cdot)\ [] \} \\ & steepsum \cdot foldr\ (\cdot)\ [] \\ = & \quad \{ foldr\text{-fusion, see below } \} \\ & foldr\ step\ (true, 0). \end{aligned}$$

To perform *foldr*-fusion, we construct a function *step* such that:

$$steepsum\ ((\cdot)\ x\ xs) = step\ x\ (steepsum\ xs).$$

We reason:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{steepsum } (x:xs) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{def. of } \text{steepsum} \text{ and } \text{split} \} \\
& (\text{steep } (x:xs), \text{sum } (x:xs)) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{def. of } \text{steep} \text{ and } \text{sum} \} \\
& (\text{steep } xs \wedge x > \text{sum } xs, x + \text{sum } xs) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{introducing local identifiers} \} \\
& \mathbf{let} (st, ss) = (\text{steep } xs, \text{sum } xs) \\
& \mathbf{in} (st \wedge x > ss, x + ss) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{let } \text{step } x (st, ss) = (st \wedge x > ss, x + ss) \} \\
& \text{step } x (\text{steep } xs, \text{sum } xs) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{def. of } \text{steepsum} \} \\
& \text{step } x (\text{steepsum } xs).
\end{aligned}$$

We have thus derived:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{steepsum} &= \text{foldr } \text{step} (\text{true}, 0) \\
&\mathbf{where} \text{step } x (st, ss) = (st \wedge x > ss, x + ss).
\end{aligned}$$

2. Recall the definition of *scanr* from the lecture:

$$\text{scanr } f e = \text{map } (\text{foldr } f e) \cdot \text{tails}$$

and its implementation as a fold:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{scanr } f e &= \text{foldr } (\text{sc } f) [e] \\
&\mathbf{where} \text{sc } f x (y:ys) = f x y : y : ys
\end{aligned}$$

(a) Expand  $\text{scanr } (+) 0 [1, 2, 3]$  step by step:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{scanr } (+) 0 [1, 2, 3] \\
= & \text{foldr } (\text{sc } (+)) [0] [1, 2, 3] \\
= & \dots
\end{aligned}$$

**Ans:**

$$\begin{aligned}
= & \text{sc } (+) 1 (\text{foldr } (\text{sc } (+)) [0] [2, 3]) \\
= & \text{sc } (+) 1 (\text{sc } (+) 2 (\text{foldr } (\text{sc } (+)) [0] [3])) \\
= & \text{sc } (+) 1 (\text{sc } (+) 2 (\text{sc } (+) 3 (\text{foldr } (\text{sc } (+)) [0] []))) \\
= & \text{sc } (+) 1 (\text{sc } (+) 2 (\text{sc } (+) 3 [0])) \\
= & \text{sc } (+) 1 (\text{sc } (+) 2 [3, 0]) \\
= & \text{sc } (+) 1 [5, 3, 0] \\
= & [6, 5, 3, 0]
\end{aligned}$$

- (b) Derive the implementation of  $scanr f e$  by fusing  $map (foldr f e) \cdot tails$  into one fold.

**Ans:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & map (foldr f e) \cdot tails \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{since } tails \text{ is a fold } \} \\
 & map (foldr f e) \cdot foldr til [[]] \\
 = & \quad \{ foldr\text{-fusion, see below } \} \\
 & foldr (sc f) [[e]].
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of  $til$ :

$$til\ x\ (ys:yss) = (x : ys) : ys : yss.$$

This fusion condition is proved below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & map (foldr f e) (til\ x\ (ys : yss)) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{def. of } til \} \\
 & map (foldr f e) ((x : ys) : ys : yss) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{def. of } map \} \\
 & foldr f e\ (x : ys) : foldr f e\ ys : map (foldr f e)\ yss \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{def. of } foldr \} \\
 & f\ x\ (foldr f e\ ys) : foldr f e\ ys : map (foldr f e)\ yss \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{introducing local identifiers } \} \\
 & \mathbf{let}\ (ys, yss) = (foldr f e\ ys, map (foldr f e)\ yss) \\
 & \mathbf{in}\ f\ x\ ys : ys : yss \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{let } sc\ f\ x\ (ys:yss) = f\ x\ ys : ys : yss \} \\
 & sc\ f\ x\ (foldr f e\ ys : map (foldr f e)\ yss) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{def. of } map \} \\
 & sc\ f\ x\ (map (foldr f e)\ (ys : yss)).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore derived:

$$scanr\ f\ e = foldr\ (sc\ f)\ [[e]],$$

where  $sc\ f\ x\ (ys:yss) = f\ x\ ys : ys : yss$ .

## 2 Take-Home Exercise (Due Date: July 10th)

You do not have to do the exercises below if you have completed any of the exercises from Day 1. Exercise 1 is worth 40 points while exercise 2 is worth 50 points.

1. The function  $filter\ p$  selects from a list all elements satisfying a predicate  $p$ . For example,  $filter\ even\ [1, 2, 3, 4] = [2, 4]$ .

(a) Give a recursive definition of *filter*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{filter } p [] &= \dots \\ \text{filter } p (x:xs) &= \dots \end{aligned}$$

**Ans:**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{filter } p [] &= [] \\ \text{filter } p (x:xs) &= \text{if } p \ x \ \text{then } x:\text{filter } p \ xs \ \text{else } \text{filter } p \ xs \end{aligned}$$

(b) Define *filter p* in terms of *foldr*.

**Ans:**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{filter } p \ xs &= \text{foldr } (\text{flt } p) [] \ xs \\ \text{flt } p \ x \ ys &= \text{if } p \ x \ \text{then } x:ys \ \text{else } ys \end{aligned}$$

(c) Prove, by fold-fusion, that

$$\text{filter } p \cdot \text{map } f = \text{map } f \cdot \text{filter } (p \cdot f).$$

Hint: apply fold-fusion on both sides, and show that they are equal to the same fold.

**Ans:**

Consider the left-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{filter } p \cdot \text{map } f \\ &= \quad \{ \text{since } \text{map} \text{ is a fold} \} \\ &\quad \text{filter } p \cdot \text{foldr } mp [] \ \text{where } mp \ x \ xs = f \ x:xs \end{aligned}$$

We now attempt to construct a function *ftf* that satisfies the fusion condition:

$$\text{filter } p (mf \ f \ x \ xs) = \text{ftf } x (\text{filter } p \ xs)$$

We reason:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{filter } p (f \ x:xs) \\ &= \quad \{ \text{def. of } \text{filter} \} \\ &\quad \text{let } ys = \text{filter } p \ xs \ \text{in } \text{if } p (f \ x) \ \text{then } f \ x:ys \ \text{else } ys \\ &= \quad \{ \text{let } \text{ftf } x \ ys = \text{if } p (f \ x) \ \text{then } f \ x:ys \ \text{else } ys \} \\ &\quad \text{ftf } x (\text{filter } p \ xs) \end{aligned}$$

We have thus shown that:

$$\text{filter } p \cdot \text{map } f = \text{foldr } \text{ftf} (\text{filter } p []) = \text{foldr } \text{ftf} []$$

where *ftf* is defined by *ftf x ys = if p (f x) then f x:ys else ys*.

Now consider the right-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{map } f \cdot \text{filter } (p \cdot f) \\ &= \quad \{ \text{write } \text{filter} \text{ as a fold} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{map } f \cdot \text{foldr } (\text{ftf } (p \cdot f)) [] \\
= & \quad \{ \text{fold fusion (see below) and } \text{map } f [] = [] \} \\
& \text{foldr } \text{ftf } []
\end{aligned}$$

This fold fusion condition is proved below:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{map } f (\text{ftf } x \text{ } ys) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{def. of } \text{ftf} \} \\
& \text{map } f (\text{if } p (f x) \text{ then } x:ys \text{ else } ys) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{since } \text{map} \text{ distributes into } \text{if} \} \\
& \text{if } p x \text{ then } \text{map } f (x:ys) \text{ else } \text{map } f ys \\
= & \quad \{ \text{def. of } \text{map} \} \\
& \text{if } p (f x) \text{ then } f x : \text{map } f ys \text{ else } \text{map } f ys \\
= & \quad \{ \text{def. of } \text{ftf} \} \\
& \text{ftf } x (\text{map } f ys)
\end{aligned}$$

2. Given two functions  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ , the function  $\text{split } h_1 h_2$  computes the pair of their results:

$$\text{split } h_1 h_2 xs = (h_1 xs, h_2 xs).$$

In the special case when both  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are defined by  $\text{foldr}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1 &= \text{foldr } f_1 e_1, \\
h_2 &= \text{foldr } f_2 e_2,
\end{aligned}$$

the following “banana-split” rule allows us to express  $\text{split } h_1 h_2$  using one single  $\text{foldr}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{split } h_1 h_2 &= \text{foldr } g (e_1, e_2), \\
& \text{where } g x (y, z) = (f_1 x y, f_2 x z).
\end{aligned}$$

It optimises two traversal through the list to only one traversal. It is called “banana-split” because folds used to be written using a notation called “banana brackets”.

- (a) The function  $\text{split sum length}$  return the pair of sum and length of the input list. Use the banana-split rule to express  $\text{split sum length}$  by a fold.

**Ans:**  
Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{sum} &= \text{foldr } (+) 0 \\
\text{length} &= \text{foldr } (\lambda x z. (z + 1)) 0
\end{aligned}$$

let  $f_1 = (+)$ ,  $f_2 = (\lambda x z. (z + 1))$ ,  $e_1 = e_2 = 0$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{split sum length} &= \text{foldr } g \ (0, 0) \\ g \ x \ (y, z) &= (x + y, z + 1) \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Prove the banana-split rule by fold fusion. Hint: recall that  $\text{split } h_1 \ h_2 = \text{split } h_1 \ h_2 \cdot \text{id}$ , and  $\text{id}$  is a fold.

**Ans:**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{split } h_1 \ h_2 &= \text{split } h_1 \ h_2 \cdot \text{id} \\ &= \{ \text{write } \text{id} \text{ as a fold} \} \\ &\quad \text{split } h_1 \ h_2 \cdot \text{foldr } (\cdot) \ [] \end{aligned}$$

Now we try to fuse  $\text{split } h_1 \ h_2 \cdot \text{foldr } (\cdot) \ []$  into one fold. We have to show that  $g$  satisfies:

$$\text{split } h_1 \ h_2 \ ((\cdot) \ x \ xs) = g \ x \ (\text{split } h_1 \ h_2 \ xs)$$

which is proved below:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{split } h_1 \ h_2 \ (x:xs) \\ &= \{ \text{def. of } \text{split } \cdot \cdot \} \\ &\quad (h_1 \ (x:xs), h_2 \ (x:xs)) \\ &= \{ \text{def. of } h_1 \ \text{and } h_2 \} \\ &\quad (\text{foldr } f_1 \ e_1 \ (x:xs), \text{foldr } f_2 \ e_2 \ (x:xs)) \\ &= \{ \text{def. of } \text{foldr} \} \\ &\quad (f_1 \ x \ (\text{foldr } f_1 \ e_1 \ xs), f_2 \ x \ (\text{foldr } f_2 \ e_2 \ xs)) \\ &= \{ \text{by def., } g \ x \ (y, z) = (f_1 \ x \ y, f_2 \ x \ z) \} \\ &\quad g \ x \ (\text{foldr } f_1 \ e_1 \ xs, \text{foldr } f_2 \ e_2 \ xs) \\ &= \{ \text{def. of } h_1 \ \text{and } h_2 \} \\ &\quad g \ x \ (h_1 \ xs, h_2 \ xs) \\ &= \{ \text{def. of } \text{split } \cdot \cdot \} \\ &\quad g \ x \ (\text{split } h_1 \ h_2 \ xs) \end{aligned}$$

Back to  $\text{split } h_1 \ h_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{split } h_1 \ h_2 \\ &= \{ \text{reasoning above} \} \\ &\quad \text{foldr } g \ (\text{split } h_1 \ h_2 \ []) \\ &= \{ \text{def. of } h_1, h_2 \} \\ &\quad \text{foldr } g \ (e_1, e_2) \end{aligned}$$