

Underivability (continued)

Lemma (instantiation). If $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$, then $\Gamma[\psi/v] \vdash \varphi[\psi/v]$.

Exercise. Define substitution $\varphi[\psi/v]$ and $\Gamma[\psi/v]$.

Corollary. $\not\vdash_{NJ} \neg\neg A \rightarrow A$.

ASSUME a derivation d of $\vdash \neg\neg A \rightarrow A$

GOAL contradiction

PROOF Reduce $\vdash \neg\neg A \rightarrow A$ to the law of excluded middle.

0 $\vdash \neg\neg(A \vee \neg A) \rightarrow (A \vee \neg A)$

PROOF Apply the instantiation lemma to d , substituting $A \vee \neg A$ for A .

1 $\vdash \neg\neg(A \vee \neg A)$

2 $\vdash A \vee \neg A$ **PROOF** Combine **0** and **1** with the $\rightarrow E$ rule.

3 QED. **PROOF** **2** should have been underivable.

Exercise. $\not\vdash_{NJ} (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$.